

THOMAS L. GARTHWAITE, M.D. Director and Chief Medical Officer

FRED I FAF Chief Operating Officer

COUNTY OF LOS ANGELES DEPARTMENT OF HEALTH SERVICES 313 N. Figueroa, Los Angeles, CA 90012 (213) 240-8101

October 20, 2005

The Honorable Board of Supervisors County of Los Angeles 383 Kenneth Hahn Hall of Administration 500 West Temple Street Los Angeles, California 90012

Dear Supervisors:

ACCEPTANCE OF MATERNAL AND CHILD HEALTH ALLOCATION FOR FISCAL YEARS 2004-05 THROUGH 2006-07 AND APPROVAL OF AMENDMENTS NO. 1 WITH GREAT BEGINNINGS FOR BLACK BABIES, INC. AND THE CHILDREN'S COLLECTIVE, INC. FOR THE BLACK INFANT HEALTH PROGRAM

(All Districts) (3 Votes)

IT IS RECOMMENDED THAT YOUR BOARD:

- Accept the attached Maternal and Child Health (MCH) Allocation No. 200419, Exhibit I, approving the Department of Health Services' MCH Allocation Plan and Budgets for Fiscal Years (FYs) 2004-05 through 2006-07 from the California Department of Health Services (CDHS) in the amount of \$6,082,629 consisting of \$4,004,827 for MCH and \$2,077,802 for Black Infant Health (BIH).
- Delegate authority to the Director of Health Services, or his designee, to accept MCH Allocations for MCH and BIH through June 30, 2007 and increases or decreases that do not exceed 15% of the FY 2004-05 Allocation, contingent upon review and approval by County Counsel and notification to the Board.
- Approve and instruct the Director of Health Services, or his designee, to sign Amendment No. 1 to Agreement No. H-700540 with Great Beginnings for Black Babies, Inc. (GBBB), substantially similar to Exhibit II, to increase the maximum obligation by \$193,042 from \$315,170 to \$508,212 for FYs 2005-06 and 2006-07, effective date of Board approval, 100% offset by State funding.
- Approve and instruct the Director of Health Services, or his designee, to sign Amendment No. 1 to Agreement No. H-700539 with The Children's Collective, Inc. (TCC), substantially similar to Exhibit III, to increase the maximum obligation by \$122,128 from \$367,810 to \$489,938 for FYs 2005-06 and 2006-07, effective date of Board approval, 100% offset by State funding.

Gloria Molina First District

Yvonne Brathwaite Burke Second District

> Zev Yaroslavsky Third District

Don Knabe Fourth District

Michael D. Antonovich Fifth District

The Honorable Board of Supervisors October 20, 2005 Page 2

5. Delegate authority to the Director of Health Services, or his designee, to execute Amendments with the current BIH contractors, substantially similar to Exhibit IV, to increase or decrease their maximum obligations for FYs 2005-06 and 2006-07 to accommodate available State funding levels, and to reallocate funds among existing BIH contractors as necessary to ensure continuity of services, not to exceed available State funding, upon approval by County Counsel and notification to the Board.

PURPOSE/JUSTIFICATION OF THE RECOMMENDED ACTIONS:

Board approval of the requested actions will allow the Department of Health Services (DHS or Department) to continue efforts to implement the Countywide MCH/BIH program goals of improving health care for women of childbearing age, pregnant and parenting women, and children and their families and to reduce African American infant mortality by assuring access to quality MCH services. Delegated authority to sign any future CDHS allocations, amendments to the allocations, and reallocation among current providers, e.g., the recommended increases to GBBB and TCC, will expedite the use of State funds.

FISCAL IMPACT/FINANCING:

The MCH Allocation for FY 2004-05 is \$6,082,629, consisting of \$4,004,827 for MCH and \$2,077,802 for BIH, of which \$1,700,000 is allocated to the five BIH subcontract Agreements.

Amendment No. 1 with GBBB will augment the original contract amount by \$193,042 increasing the total allocation from \$315,170 to \$508,212 for FY 2005-06, 100% offset by State funds. Amendment No. 1 with TCC will augment the original contract amount by \$122,128 increasing the total allocation from \$367,810 to \$489,938 for FY 2005-06, 100% offset by State funds. Both Agreements provide for a 12-month automatic renewal at the same level of funding contingent upon State funding.

Funding is included in the FY 2005-06 Final Budget and will be requested in future fiscal years, as necessary.

FACTS AND PROVISIONS/LEGAL REQUIREMENTS:

For a number of years, the Board has authorized continuation of MCH Program activities supported by State funding.

State MCH Funding

On June 15, 2004, the Board delegated authority to the Director of Health Services to accept the CDHS FY 2004-05 MCH Standard Agreement. On August 24, 2004, the Department received MCH Allocation No. 200419 approving the Department's MCH Allocation Plan and Budgets for FYs 2004 through 2007 for the administration of MCH Programs. The Department anticipated the issuance of a State Standard Agreement but has now been informed that the three-year allocation approval letter from the State is all that is necessary for the Department to receive MCH funding. Accordingly, the Department is requesting delegated authority to accept each FY award and subsequent increases or decreases for the three-year period covered by the State's approval, effective for FYs 2004 through 2007.

The Honorable Board of Supervisors October 20, 2005 Page 3

Service Agreements

Since 1997, the Department has entered into Agreements with GBBB and Harbor UCLA Research and Education Institute, Inc. (REI) to reduce African-American infant mortality and its underlying causes by assuring that at-risk pregnant and parenting African American women and their infants have access to quality MCH services.

On June 15, 2004, the Board approved the following six Agreements, selected during a Request for Proposal (RFP) process, to continue BIH services through June 30, 2007: 1) GBBB, 2) TCC, 3) REI, 4) Mission City Community Network, 5) Prototypes, Centers for Innovation in Health, Mental Health and Social Services, and 6) Public Health Foundation Enterprises/First Missionary Baptist Church.

On May 5, 2005, REI notified the Department in writing that they elected to discontinue their BIH services contract effective June 30, 2005. To ensure the clients within REI's geographic areas of SPAs 6 and 8 continue to be served, the Department is requesting approval to redistribute REI's allocation and client caseload between GBBB and TCC. Both agencies currently provide BIH services in SPAs 6 and 8. The staff of GBBB and TCC met with REI staff and agreed to a transition plan to ensure that active client cases do not have a lapse in service.

Approval of Amendments No. 1 for GBBB and TCC will augment each Agreement, effective date of Board approval through June 30, 2006, with an automatic renewal through June 30, 2007, contingent upon State funding.

The Amendments, Exhibits II, III, and IV, include the recently approved Board-mandated language regarding Nonprofit Contractor Requirements (SB 1262) and the latest Contractor Responsibility and Debarment language.

County Counsel has reviewed Exhibits I through IV as to form.

Attachment A provides additional information.

CONTRACTING PROCESS:

On March 8, 2004, DHS released an RFP for agencies interested and qualified to provide BIH services under contract with the County. Six agencies were selected and awarded Agreements to provide services from July 1, 2004 through June 30, 2007. Only REI, GBBB and TCC submitted bids to provide services in SPAs 6 and 8 as a part of the RFP process.

IMPACT ON CURRENT SERVICES (OR PROJECTS):

Funding provided by CDHS will allow DHS to continue to provide MCH services to improve health care for women of childbearing age, children, adolescents, and their families.

The Honorable Board of Supervisors October 20, 2005 Page 4

When approved, the Department requires three signed copies of the Board's action.

Respectfully submitted,

Thomas L. Garthwaite, M.D.

Director and Chief Medical Officer

TLG:iw:skd

Attachments (4)

c: Chief Administrative Officer County Counsel Executive Officer, Board of Supervisors

MCHBIH.SKD.wpd

SUMMARY OF AGREEMENTS

1. **TYPE OF SERVICES:**

Maternal and child health services to improve health care for women of childbearing age, children, adolescents and their families, and to improve the health care of African American mothers and their children.

2. **AGENCIES/CONTACT PERSONS:**

California Department of Health Services Maternal and Child Health Branch 714 "P" Street, Room 740 Sacramento, California 94234-7320

Attention: Susan J. Steinberg, M.D., Acting Chief

Telephone: (916) 657-1347

Subcontracts:

Great Beginnings for Black Babies, Inc. (GBBB)

3311 West Manchester Ave, Suite 301

Inglewood, California 90305

Attention: Zola Jones, Executive Director

Telephone: (323) 789-7955 Facsimile:

(323) 789-7960

The Children's Collective, Inc. (TCC)

5870-C West Jefferson Blvd.

Los Angeles, California 90016

Attention: Dr. Jackie Kimbrough, Executive Director

Telephone: (310) 733-4388 Facsimile: (310) 733-4320

3. **TERM OF AGREEMENTS:**

The Maternal and Child Health (MCH) Allocation from the State is for consecutive years beginning Fiscal Year (FY) July 1, 2004 through June 30, 2007.

Amendments No. 1 to Agreement No. H-700540 with GBBB and Agreement No. H-700539 with TCC do not change the expiration date of June 30, 2007.

4. **FINANCIAL INFORMATION:**

The MCH Allocation for FY 2004-05 is \$6,082,629, consisting of \$4,004,827 for MCH and \$2,077,802 for BIH, of which \$1,700,000 is allocated to the five BIH subcontract Agreements.

Amendment No. 1 with GBBB will augment the original contract amount by \$193,042 increasing the total allocation from \$315,170 to \$508,212, 100% offset by State funding. Amendment No. 1 with TCC will augment the original contract amount by \$122,128 increasing the total allocation from \$367,810 to \$489,938, 100% offset by State funding. Both Agreements provide for a 12-month automatic renewal at the same level of funding contingent upon State funding.

Funding is included in the FY 2005-06 Final Budget and will be requested in future fiscal years, as necessary.

5. **GEOGRAPHIC AREA TO BE SERVED:**

Countywide

6. <u>DESIGNATED ACCOUNTABLE FOR PROJECT MONITORING:</u>

Jonathan E. Fielding, M.D., M.P.H., Medical Director, Public Health

7. APPROVALS:

Public Health:

John F. Schunhoff, Ph.D., Chief of Operations

Contracts and Grants Division:

Cara O'Neill, Chief, Contracts and Grants

County Counsel (approval as to form):

Eva Vera, Senior Deputy County Counsel

BLETMCH:iw:skd 10/13/05

Exhibit I



State of California—Health and Human Services Agency Department of Health Services



ARNOLD SCHWARZENEGGER
Governor

August 12, 2004

Ms. Cynthia Harding MCH Director Los Angeles County Health Department 313 North Figueroa Street, Room 806 Los Angeles, CA 90012

Dear Ms. Harding:

MATERNAL AND CHILD HEALTH (MCH) ALLOCATION #200419

The MCH Branch of the Department of Health Services (DHS) approves your Agency's three (3) year MCH Allocation Plan and Budgets for fiscal years (FY) 2004/07 for the administration of the MCH Programs.

To carry out the program outlined in the enclosed MCH Allocation Plan and Budget, during the period of July 1, 2004, through June 30, 2005, the MCH Branch will reimburse expenditures up to the following amounts:

Maternal and Child Health Program
Black Infant Health Program

\$ 4,004,827 \$2,077,802

Reimbursement of invoices is subject to compliance with all federal and state requirements pertaining to the State MCH Program and adherence to all applicable regulations, and adherence to DHS MCH Branch policies and procedures, which may be accessed at www.mch.dhs.ca.gov.

Please ensure that all necessary individuals within your Agency are notified of this approval and that the enclosed documents are carefully reviewed. This approval letter constitutes a binding agreement.

RECEIVED AUG 2 4 2004

Ms. Cynthia Harding Page 2 August 12, 2004

If any of the information contained in the enclosed MCH Allocation Plan and Budget is incorrect or different from that negotiated, please contact your Contract Manager, Amber Delgado, at (916) 650-0340 within 14 calendar days from the date of this letter. Non-response constitutes acceptance of the enclosed documents.

Sincerely,

Susann J. Steinberg, M.D., Chief Maternal and Child Health Branch

Enclosures

Chair, Board of Supervisors CC:

Los Angeles County Health Department 313 North Figueroa Street, Room 806 Los Angeles, CA 90012

Amber Delgado Operations Section Maternal and Child Health Branch 1615 Capitol Ave., MS 8305 P.O. Box 997420 Sacramento, CA 95899-7420

Joyce Weston Program Consultant Maternal and Child Health Branch 1615 Capitol Avenue, MS 8306 P.O Box 997420 Sacramento, CA 95899-7420

Janet Baisden BIH Program Consultant 1615 Capitol Avenue, MS 8306 P.O Box 997420 Sacramento, CA 95899-7420

916-650-0289 Amber Del Gaolo

Matern. Child Health Branch

7/1/04-6/30/05

| Commitment and Child Feathern Name and Child Feather | Budget Revision Number: | r 1: 2004-05 | | | | | - | - | | | | Esterio | | Maiched | | | |
|--|--|-------------------|-------------|----------------|----------|------------------------|---------------|---------------|--|---|-------------|-------------|----------|--|-------------|-----------|-------------|
| Comment and Chief Health Chief H | | 8 1 1 | | | | | - La Commonda | | | | | echacomecod | 0.5 | 56.94% | | | |
| Colonity attentional and Child Headen | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| Control of Los Angles Cont | | ealth | | | UNMATCH | ED FUNDING | | | NON | - ENHANCED | MATCHING (| (05/05 | ii. | ENHANCED MATCHING (75/25) | TCHING (75/ | , (52 | |
| FEODENT 1150 | | | | MCH-TV | | MCH-GF | | AGENCY | | MCH-N | | CNTY-N | | MCH-E | | CNTY-E | - |
| NAME State | The state of the s | | (2) | (3) | <u>4</u> | (2) | (9) | (7) | (8) | (6) | (±0 | (11) | (12) | (13) | (14) | (15) | (16) |
| NUME State | EXPENSE CATEGORY | TOTAL FUNDING | | Federal | * | State General Funds | 3 | Local * | 2 | Combined Fed/State | ; | Combined * | | Combined Fed/State | | Combined* | |
| COSTS COST | | 8,005,612 | 1.16% | 93,056 | | | 39.85% | 3,190,385 | | | 34.50% | 2,761,957 | % | | 24 49% | 1 950 214 | 100% |
| CUT COSTS 110% MAX 618.234 0.32% 2.153 1.00% 0. | | 528,667 | 12.79% | 67,630 | 6.61% | 34,940 | 24.01% | 126,950 | 15.95% | 84,335 | 36.69% | 193,979 | 0.00% | - | 3.84% | 20 B31 | 7004 |
| CT COSTS 1100% MAX 1100% | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | ! |
| CCT COSTS 100 km Ax 100 | | 200 303 | /80000 | 2 6 6 | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| COLUMN 10 to 0x 566.445 4103% 232.422 10 35% 34,940 36.89% 3.606.399 4.28% 410.358 3.41.7% 3.340.9813 0.00% 10 0x 10 0 | CHEN COSTS | | 0.32% | 2,153 | | | 42.74% | 289,054 | | - | 56.94% | 385,027 | | | | | 100% |
| 19776-556 4.04% 395,261 0.36% 34,940 36.85,389 4.18,389 4.18,389 34.17% 3.340,980 0.00% | INDIRECT COSTS | | 41.03% | 232,422 | | | | | 58.97% | 334,023 | | | | | | | - Telephone |
| Total Tiles Budget Total Anching Tiles Washing State Funding | TOTALS* | 9,776,958 | 4.04% | 395,261 | 0.36% | 34,940 | 36.89% | 3,606,389 | 4.28% | 418,358 | 34.17% | 3,340,963 | 0.00% | 3 | 20.26% | 1,981,045 | |
| Total Titls BUDGET HAS BEEN CONSTRUCTED IN COMPLIANCE WITH ALL MCH ADMINISTRATIVE AND PROGRAM POLICIES. 19,136,131 19,136,136,136,136,136,136,136,136,136,136 | Maximum Amount Payable from State and Feder | eral resources: | | 4,004,827 | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| Total Title V State Funding State Family State Fami | | | i ba | | | | | | MODIFICATION OF THE PERSON OF | | | | | • | | | |
| Total State General Funds 395,261 10 244,120 10 244, 120 10 244,120 244,1 | | | | State Funding | | Small Cnty Project | | Budgeted | | Balances | | % of Budget | | | | | |
| Total State Ganeral Funds 244,120 244,120 10 24% 244,120 10 24% 244,120 10 24% 244,120 10 24% 244,120 10 24% 244,120 10 24% 244,120 10 24% 244,120 10 24% 244,120 10 24% 24,124 10 24% 24,124 10 24% 24,124 10 | Total Tilla V | | | 104 261 | | | | 305 364 | | | | | | | | | |
| Total Agency General Fund | Total State General Fund | spu | | 244,120 | - | | | 244,120 | | 0 | ****** | 2% | | | | | |
| 13185,447 1018 134% 13 | Total Agency General Fr | Fund | | | | | | 5,772,132 | | n/a | | 29% | | | | | |
| TIFY THAT THIS BUDGET HAS BEEN CONSTRUCTED IN COMPLIANCE WITH ALL MCH ADMINISTRATIVE AND PROGRAM POLICIES. THE THAT THIS BUDGET HAS BEEN CONSTRUCTED IN COMPLIANCE WITH ALL MCH ADMINISTRATIVE AND PROGRAM POLICIES. THE MCHPROJECT DIRECTOR'S SIGNATURE MCHPROJECT DIRECTOR'S SIGNATURE ANCH-TV MCH-TV | I DIAI MAICHING INIB AIX | | | 100 000 | | | | 3,365,447 | | - | | 34% | | | | | , |
| TIFY THAT THIS BUDGET HAS BEEN CONSTRUCTED IN COMPLIANCE WITH ALL MCH ADMINISTRATIVE AND PROGRAM POLICIES. MCHIPROJECT DIRECTOR'S SIGNATURE MCHIPROJECT DIRECTOR'S SIGNATURE AGENCY FISCAL AGENTY SIGNATURE DATE AGENCY FISCAL AGENTY SIGNATURE MCH-TV | | lotals | | 639,381 | | | | 9,776,959 | | 0 | | 100% | | | | | |
| MCHIPROJECT DIRECTOR'S SIGNATURE MCHIPROJECT DIRECTOR'S SIGNATURE MCHIPROJECT DIRECTOR'S SIGNATURE AGENCY FISCAL AGENTY SIGNATURE AGENCY FISCAL AGENCY SIG | WE CERTIFY THAT THIS BUDGET HAS BEEN CO | ONSTRUCTED | N COMPLIA | NCE WITH ALL | MCH ADM | INISTRATIVE | AND PROGE | RAM POLICIES | 3. | | | | | | | | |
| MCHIPE CONTROLLE DATE MICHIPE CONTROLLE DATE MICHIPE CONTROLLE CONTROLLE AGENCY FISCAL AGENTY SIGNATURE MICHIPE EXPENDITURES 2,153 2,153 1,380,379 1,380,379 1,380,379 1,380,379 1,380,379 1,380,379 1,380,379 1,380,379 1,380,379 1,380,379 1,380,379 1,380,379 1,380,379 1,380,379 1,380,379 1,380,379 | | ~ | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| MCH/FROJECT DIRECTOR'S SIGNATURE DATE CAGENCY FISCAL AGENT'S SIGNATURE | JOHN ONE | U L | | 7/1/07 | _1 | | | | 1 m | 1/18 | KUX | ر ک | | | Spela | | |
| ### ACH-TV MCH-GF MCH-GF MCH-GF MCH-GF MCH-GF MCH-N 1,380,379 B6,990 B6,990 B6,990 B6,990 B6,990 B6,990 B7,838 B7,83 | MCH/PROJECT DIRECTOR'S SIGNATUR | JRE / | 1 | DATE | | | | 7 | AGENCY | FISCAL AGEN | T'S SIGNATU | RE | | i | DATE | | |
| NNEL MCH-TV MCH-GF MCH-N ING COSTS 93,056 34,940 84,335 1,380,979 EXPENDITURES 2,153 2,153 192,514 192,514 IT COSTS 232,422 192,514 192,514 | Y These amounts contain local revenue submitted | ed for informatio | n and matcl | hing purposes. | MCH does | s not reimburs | te Agency or | ontributions. | THE SECTION OF THE PROPERTY OF | *************************************** | | | | - International Control of the Contr | - | | |
| PERSONNEL MCH-TV MCH-TV MCH-GF MCH-N CNTY-N OPERATING COSTS 67,630 34,940 84,335 96,990 CAPITAL EXPENDITURES 2,153 2,153 192,514 INDIRECT COSTS 232,422 334,023 192,514 | State Use Only | | | | | | | | | | | MCH/ | | | | MCH/ | Γ |
| PERSONNEL 93,056 1,3 OPERATING COSTS 67,630 34,940 84,335 CAPITAL EXPENDITURES 2,163 1,3 OTHER COSTS 2,2,163 334,023 INDIRECT COSTS 232,422 334,023 | | | | MCH-TV | | MCH-GF | | | | MCH-N | L | CNTY-N | <u> </u> | MCH-E | L | CNTY-E | |
| OPERATING COSTS 67,630 34,940 84,335 . CAPITAL EXPENDITURES 2,163 2,163 . OTHER COSTS 232,422 . . | (I) · PERSONNEL | | | 93'026 | | | | | | | | 1,380,979 | | | | 1,470,161 | |
| CAPITAL EXPENDITURES 2,153 OTHER COSTS 232,422 INDIRECT COSTS 334,023 | | | | 67,630 | V | 34,940 | | | | 84,335 | | 066'96 | | e | | 15,623 | |
| UNER COSTS 232,422 334,023 | | | | | | | | | | ********** | AT OUR A | | - | | | | |
| | | | | 2,153 | | | | | | 334,023 | | 192,514 | | | | | |
| 4,004,829 395,261 | Totals for PCA Codes ** | 4,004,829 | | 395,261 | | 34,940 | | | | 418,358 | | 1,670,483 | | 3 | | 1,485,784 | |

| Column C | Program: | MCH Meternal and Child Health | = | | | UNMATCHE | UNMATCHED FUNDING | | | NON | ENHANCED | NON - ENHANCED MATCHING (50/50) | 0/50) | EN | ENHANCED MATCHING (75/25) | CHING (75/2 | 151 | | |
|--|--------------------|--|---------------------------------------|--------|--------------------|----------|--|---------|---------|--------|---|--|--|--|--|--|--|-------------|-----------|
| 100 | Agency: | County of Los Angeles | | | MCH-TV | | MCH-GF | | AGENCY | | MCH.N | | NATA | | מסמים | | , contro | | |
| VOLUMENT | Agreement No.: | 200419 | (1) | (2) | (3) | (4) | (5) | (9) | (7) | (8) | (6) | (10) | Ē | (12) | (13) | (14) | (15) | (16) | (17) |
| 1,000 1,00 | XPENSE CATEGORY | | TOTAL FUNDING | * | Faderal Titla V | × | State General Funds | % | Local * | × | Combined Fed/State | * | Combined * | * | Combined Fed/State | × | Combined* FediAgency | | |
| 1,000 1,00 | | | 64,638 | | | | | | | | | | | | | Charles and the Control of the Contr | New York Control of the Control of t | 4 | |
| 1970 | I. OPERATING EXF | ENSES WORKSHEET | | | | | Control of the Contro | | | | | | | | | | | Matc | 6 |
| 100 | OLAL OPERATING EXP | ENSES | 528,667 | | 67,630 | | 34,940 | | 126,950 | | 84,335 | | 193,979 | | - | | 20,831 | Used | Avail |
| 1862.04 1960 | IRAVEL | | 55,881 | 0.00% | | | | 39.86% | 22,274 | 0.01% | 4 | 36.10% | 20,173 | %00.0 | 3 | 24.03% | 13 428 | | T |
| 1,200,149 1,000,44 2,300 1,000,44 1,200 1, | TRAINING | | 30,234 | %00.0 | | | | 41.01% | 12,400 | | | 34.50% | 10.431 | | ــــــــــــــــــــــــــــــــــــــ | 24 49% | 7 403 | | |
| 1,000 1,00 | | ie - MCAH | 166,237 | 17.06% | 28,365 | 8.00% | 13,299 | 18.00% | 29,923 | 20.00% | 33.247 | 36.94% | 61 403 | | | 1/24.74 | F0*. | 78 0 48 | |
| 1 | | МСАН | 255,416 | 15.06% | 38,473 | | 20,433 | 20.00% | 51,083 | 20.00% | 51,083 | 36.94% | 94.343 | | | | | 50.94 7e | T |
| Note 1,000 | | TIVES - MCAH | 2,000 | 39.60% | 792 | | 1,208 | | | | | | | | | | | | 370 23 |
| | | NING COUNCIL | 5,000 | | | | - | 43.06% | 2,153 | | | 56.94% | 2.847 | | | | | - | 20.04.78 |
| Note Saturation Saturatio | | CENT ADMINISTRATION-NFF | 2,000 | | | | *************************************** | 100.00% | 2,000 | | ***** | | | | | | | | 5.B 9.4%. |
| 1000 | | S - NFP | 5,400 | | | | | 43.06% | 2,325 | | • | 56.94% | 3,075 | | | | | | |
| Mail | | ES - NFP | 3,500 | | | | • | 100.00% | 3,500 | | *************************************** | | | | | | | | 58 04%. |
| 1,000 1,00 | | -NFP | 666 | | | | * | 43.06% | 430 | | | 56.94% | 569 | | | | | | |
| 1,000 1,00 | | ER Equipment - NFP | 1,000 | | | | ************ | 43.06% | 431 | | • | 56.94% | 569 | | | | | 56.94% | T |
| STS WORKSHEET STS WO | | S - NFP | 1,000 | | | | | 43.06% | 431 | | ************ | 56.94% | 699 | | | | | 56 94% | |
| T | = | | | | | | • | | | | * | | | | | | | | 56 04% |
| Table Tabl | 12 | | | | | | | | J | | | | | | | | | | 20,000 |
| T | 13 | | | | | | ···· | | 1_ | | | | | | | | | | 20.94% |
| T | 14 | OWNERS TO SELECT THE PARTY OF T | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | 56.94% |
| Table Tabl | 15 | | | | | | | | | | | | eli perilita a | | | | | | 56.94% |
| 1,220,119 65,634 2,153 43,06% | | | TOWNS OF THE PERSON NAMED IN COLUMN 1 | | | - | | | | | | THE RESIDENCE AND ADDRESS OF THE PERSON OF T | | THE CONTRACTOR OF THE CONTRACT | | | | | 56.94% |
| STS | IV. OTHER COSTS | WORKSHEET | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | , | |
| OF COLORADO 7,560 A A A A A A A A A | TOTAL OTHER COSTS | | 676,234 | | 2,153 | | | | 289,054 | | | | 385,027 | | THE RESIDENCE AND ADDRESS OF THE PARTY OF TH | | | Maic | F |
| 1,250 2,50 | SUBCONTRACTS | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | \vdash | Avail. |
| Total Indirect Total Indirect | , UNIVERSITY OF CO | JLORADO | 7,560 | | | | | 43.06% | 3,256 | | | 56.94% | 4,304 | | | | | 56.94% | |
| Total Indirect | 2 | | • | | | | | | | | L | | · | | <u> </u> | | | u, | 56.94% |
| Total Indirect 1,230,119 663,674 2,153 285,796 269,4% 2,647 2, | | | | | | | | | | | L | | | | <u> </u> | | | 41 | 56.94% |
| Total Indirect Total Indirect | 4 | | | | | | | | | | L | | <u> </u> | | l | | | 20 | 56.94% |
| Total Indirect 1,230,119 663,674 S.000 43.06% 2,153 S.000 43.06% S.00 | v. | | | | | | L | | | | | | | | ! | | | 25 | 56.94% |
| Computer Equipment - MCAH 1,230,119 663,674 2,153 2,153 2,163 2, | 9 | | | | | | | | | | I | | . | | <u> </u> | | 1 | - Sc | 56.94% |
| Total Indirect 1,230,119 683,674 2,153 | 7 | | | | | | | | L | | I | | <u> </u> | | L | | I. | 25 | 56.94% |
| Total Indirect Total Indirect 1,230,119 663,674 43.06% 285,798 43.06% 285,798 43.06% 285,798 S6.94% 37,876 S6.94% 5.694% | 40 | | | | | | I | | | | 1 | | L | | <u> </u> | | | 2 | 56.94% |
| OTHER INDIRECT 1,230,119 663,674 2 43.06% 285,798 377,876 56.94% 377,876 56.94% 56.94% 377,876 56.94% | OTHER CHARGES | Total Indirect | | | | | | | | | • | | | | | | L | 2 | 56.94% |
| Computer Equipment - MCAH 5,000 43.08% 2,153 56.94% 2,847 56.94% | OTHER INDIRECT | 1,230,119 | 663,674 | | | | | 43,06% | 285,798 | | | 56.94% | 377,876 | | | | | 58.94% | |
| | | I - MCAH | 5,000 | 43.06% | 2,153 | | | | | | | 56.94% | 2,847 | | | | Service service | 56.94% | |
| | 2 | | | | | | 1 | | 1 | | L | | - | | | | - | 25 | 56.94% |
| | 3 | | | | | | ــــــــــــــــــــــــــــــــــــــ | | <u></u> | | I | | MATERIAL PROPERTY AND ADDRESS OF THE PARTY AND | | | | | 2 | 56.94% |
| | 4 | | | | | | | T | 1 | | 4 | | Linealitiecon | | | | n de la constante de la consta | 1 | 94% |
| | 20 | | | | | | | | 1 | | 1 | | *************************************** | | | | 1 | 5 | 6.94% |
| | 9 | | | | | | لــــا | | | | | | i native | | | | | -60 | 6.94% |
| | , | | | | orania di | | | | | | | | | | | | | v. | 6.94% |
| | 82 | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | in . | 6.94% |

. .

| u |
|---|
| 7 |
| ¢ |
| 4 |
| 1 |
| |
| C |
| |

| State | Prog | Program: MCH Maternal and Cl | - Maternal | MCH Maternal and Child Health | - | | | UNMATCH | UNMATCHED FUNDING | 7/1/04-6/30/05 | 6/30/05 | NON | NON - ENHANCED MATCHING (50/50) | AATCHING (5 | 0/20) | ä | ENHANCED MATCHING (75/25) | CHING (75/2: | 15 | | |
|--|-------------|------------------------------|------------|-------------------------------|---|-------|--------------------|--|------------------------|----------------|-----------|-----|---------------------------------|-------------|--------------------------|------|---------------------------|--------------|-------------------------|---------|-----------------------|
| | Age | ncy: | County of | Los Angeles | | | MCH-TV | | MCH-GF | | AGENCY | | MCH-N | | CNTY.N | | MCH.F | | CMD | | |
| PRESONDERION PRESENTE PRESONDERION PRESENTE PRESENTE PRESENTE PRESONDERION PRESENTE PRE | Agr | sement No.: | 200419 | | | (2) | (3) | (4) | (5) | (9) | (2) | (8) | (6) | (10) | (11) | (12) | (61) | (14) | (15) | (16) | (17) |
| | EXE | ENSE CATEGORY | | | TOTAL FUNDING | * | Federal Title V | * | State General Funds | % | Local * | * | Combined Fed/State | * | Combined * Fed/Agency | × | Combined FediState | * | Combined* Fed/Agency | | |
| | | ERSONNEL WORKSI | HEET | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| ACTIVAL REMETRIES ACTI | <u> </u> | AL PERSONNEL COSTS | | | 8,005,612 | | 93,056 | | | - | 3,190,385 | | | | 2.761.957 | | - | | 1 050 244 | Ì | |
| | | BENEFIT RATE | | | | | | | | | | - | | | | | - | | 1,300,414 | | |
| No. Commonwell Commonwell | | ACTUAL BENEFITS TOTAL WAGES | | | 2,341,158 | | 28,418 | | | | 930,804 | | | | 800,477 | | | | 581,459 | | |
| 1 No Accompanie 100 (100 (100 of a) 46 (180 of a) 56 (180 of a) 100 (100 of a) | | INITIALS TITLE OR CLASS. | % FTE | ANNUAL | 10 to | | 04,936 | | | | 2,259,581 | | | | 1,961,480 | | | | 1,378,754 | MCF Par | (X) hs/2 gnilavs/T |
| International months 10,000% 1 | × | - | 100.00% | | 46,819 | | Step1 - 141 | | | 47.70% | 22,333 | | | 52.30% | 24 487 | | | | | 1 | |
| KI, March Payment and Michael Programs and Michae | | 5 | 100.00% | 50,140 | 50,140 | | - | | | 47.70% | 23,917 | | · | 52.30% | 26,223 | | | T | | 52 30% | × , |
| L. May Print Cases 100 00% 24,111 70,111 200% 3,500 15,00% < | | 호 : | 100.00% | 70,111 | 70,111 | 0.00% | | | | 47.70% | 33,443 | | 4 | 15.00% | 10,517 | | 1 | 37.30% | 26,151 | 52.30% | × |
| Via. Perès Prent Crispe 100 00% 7.11 11 7.01 11 7.00 MS 5.00 MS 3.50 MS 15.00 MS Via. Perès Prent Crispe 100 00% 7.01 11 7.01 11 7.01 11 7.01 11 7.01 11 7.01 11 7.01 11 7.01 10 7.00 MS | | שַ עַ | 100.00% | 70,111 | 70,111 | 0.00% | | | | 5.00% | 3,506 | | | 15.00% | 10,517 | | L | 80.00% | 56,089 | 95.00% | × |
| Name Colored Physician I MoDH 100 00% 20,111 20,011 20,00% 20 | | 2 2 | 100.00% | | 70,111 | %00.0 | | | | 5.00% | 3,506 | | 1 | 15.00% | 10,517 | | | 80.00% | 56,089 | 95.00% | × |
| Viz. Greek, Predictor Condition 7,510 7,510 7,510 1,500 | | ð | 100.00% | | 70,111 | 0.00% | | | | 5.00% | 3,506 | | | 15.00% | 10,517 | | | 80.00% | 56,089 | 95.00% | × |
| VIC. Policy President Medical Management Light Class Area (1878) VIC. Policy President Medical Management Medical Medical Management Medical Management Medical Medi | | Vac | 100 00% | 70.111 | 70.111 | 200.0 | | | | 5,00% | 3,506 | | | 15.00% | 10,517 | | | 80.00% | 56,089 | 95.00% | × |
| 185 Client Prystain til MCH 100 00% 61,314 61,3 | | N. | 100.00% | | 70.111 | | | | | 36.00% | 75,240 | | | 30.00% | 21,033 | | | 34.00% | | 64.00% | × |
| Fig. Clinet Physician 1 MCH- 100 00% 189,277 189,277 1000% 190,278 170,289 170,09% 190,00% | = | vac | | | 67.314 | | | | | 47 708/ | 007 66 | | | 30.00% | 21,033 | | 1 | 34.00% | 23,838 | 64.00% | × |
| SD Clin SW Cone CAH control 70.00% 3.531 70.00% 3.531 70.00% 3.531 70.00% 3.531 70.00% 3.531 70.00% 3.531 70.00% 3.531 70.00% 3.531 70.00% 3.531 70.00% 3.531 70.00% 3.531 70.00% 3.531 70.00% 3.531 70.00% 3.531 70.00% 3.531 70.00% 3.531 70.00% 3.531 70.00% 3.531 70.00% 3.530 70.00% 3.531 70.00% 3.531 70.00% 3.530% 70.00% 3.530% 70.00% 3.530% 70.00% 3.530% 70.00% 3.530% 70.00% 3.530% 70.00% 3.530% 70.00% 3.530% 70.00% 3.500% 70.00% 3.500% 70.00% 3.500% 70.00% 3.500% 70.00% 3.500% 70.00% 3.500% 70.00% 3.500% 70.00% 3.500% 70.00% 3.500% 70.00% 3.500% 70.00% 3.500% 70.00% 3.500% 70.00% | - | RS | | 189,277 | 189,277 | 0.00% | | | | 47.70% | 32,109 | | | 52.30% | 35,205 | | | | - | 52.30% | × |
| UN Cline By Consultant CP 100 00% 10 628 0 00% 3.331 1500% LW Cline By Consultant CP 100 00% 63 566 60 00% 63 566 63 566 63 566 63 566 CLM Epithologya REP 100 00% 63 566 63 566 63 566 63 566 63 566 63 566 A Hallin Extract CPS 100 00% 63 176 64 17 64 17 64 17 64 17 64 17 SC Introductional REP 100 00% 63 417 56 417 64 17 64 17 64 17 64 17 SC Introductional REP 100 00% 63 144 30 144 <t< td=""><td><i>≍</i></td><td>SG</td><td></td><td>70,628</td><td>70,628</td><td>0.00%</td><td></td><td></td><td>*</td><td>70.00%</td><td>49.440</td><td></td><td></td><td>20.00%</td><td>14 136</td><td></td><td></td><td>10.00%</td><td>_</td><td>52.30%</td><td>×</td></t<> | <i>≍</i> | SG | | 70,628 | 70,628 | 0.00% | | | * | 70.00% | 49.440 | | | 20.00% | 14 136 | | | 10.00% | _ | 52.30% | × |
| LW Character and C | | Vac | - | 70,628 | 70,628 | 0.00% | | | | 5.00% | 3,531 | | <u></u> | 15,00% | 10.594 | | | 10.00% | 7,063 | 52.30% | × , |
| CD Felt Analyst REP 100.00% 49,766 49,766 47,70% 23,739 52,30% | - | ٢ | 100.00% | 63,565 | 63,565 | | | | • | 47.70% | 30,321 | | -L | 32.30% | 20.532 | | 1 | 20.00% | _ | K2 30% | , |
| D. Epidemicologasi REP 68.065 68.065 68.065 68.065 68.065 68.00% 47.70% 27.465 52.30% | - | C | 100.00% | | 49,766 | | | | | 47.70% | 23,739 | | | 52.30% | 26,028 | | 1_ | 2000 | _ | 52.30% | < × |
| A | = | ᆲ | 100.00% | 990'89 | 68,065 | | | | • | 47.70% | 32,467 | | 1 | 52.30% | 35,598 | | 1_ | T | -l | 52.30% | × |
| SC Into System Sys Anal 100 00% 56.417 56.417 56.417 56.417 56.417 56.417 56.30% 52.30% | - | ₹ | | | 39,290 | | | | • | 5.00% | 1,964 | | 1 | 95.00% | 37,325 | | 1 | T | | 95.00% | × |
| CG Into Sys Ana II REP 100.00% 56.417 58.230% 52.30% | = | SC | | | 58,417 | | | | I | 47.70% | 27,865 | | 1 | 52.30% | 30,552 | | <u> </u> | | | 52.30% | × |
| SS Int. Typist Clerk REP-nd, 100 00%, 30,144 30,00% 36,00% 36,00% 36,00% 36,00% 36,00% 36,00% 36,00% 36,00% 36,00% 30,217 30,217 30,217 30,217 30,217 30,217 30,217 30,217 30,00% 30,00% 30,00% 30,217 30,00% 30,217 30,00% 30,217 30,00% | <u> </u> | 99 | | | 58,417 | | | | l | 47.70% | 27,865 | | <u></u> | 52.30% | 30,552 | | | | | 52.30% | × |
| SP Int Typist Cierk - ADM 100 00% 30,959 30,959 30,959 30,959 30,959 30,959 30,959 30,959 47,70% 14,768 52,30% 52,30% LE Int Typist Cierk - MPP 100,00% 30,144 30,00% | × | SS | 72 | | 30,144 | | | | 1 | 47.70% | 14,379 | | | 52.30% | 15,765 | | | | - | 52.30% | × |
| LE Inl Typist Cierk-NFP 100 00% 30,144 30,00% 30,217 100.00% 55.639 50.00% 55.639 50.00% 55.00% | 2. | Sp | | | 30,959 | | | | | 47.70% | 14,768 | | | 52.30% | 16,192 | | | | | 52.30% | × |
| SW Int. Typist Clerk-MPP 100 00% 30,144 30,00% 40,00% 30,217 30,217 30,00% 40,00% 30,00% 40,00% 30,00% | 22 | " | 100.00% | 30,144 | 30,144 | | | | 1 | 36.00% | 10,852 | | 1 | 64.00% | 19,292 | | | | | 64.00% | × |
| OCCUMINATION AD 144 30,147 30,147 30,147 30,147 30,147 30,147 30,147 30,147 30,147 30,147 30,00% 40,00% 46,584 46,584 46,584 46,584 46,584 46,584 46,584 46,584 46,584 46,584 46,584 46,584 40,00% 20,330 30,00% 47,70% 47,70% 47,70% 47,70% 47,70% 40,00% 4 | 23 | S.W | 100.00% | 30,144 | 30,144 | | | | 1_ | 36.00% | 10,852 | | | 64.00% | 19,292 | | | | | 64.00% | × |
| All Nurse Manager CPSP (10,000%) 55,639 0.00% 5,684 30,00% All Nurse Manager CPSP (10,000%) 55,639 0.00% 5,639 0.00% 5,684 30,00% All Nurse Manager CPSP (100,000%) 10,787 107,787 107,787 107,787 107,787 107,787 100,00% All Nurse Manager LPSP (100,000%) 107,787 107,787 107,787 100,00% 100,0 | 7 7 | 3 4 | | 30,144 | 30,144 | | | | | 36.00% | 10,852 | | | 64.00% | 19,292 | | | | | 64.00% | × |
| TH Nutritional IL-CPSP 100.00% 46,594 46,594 0.00% 46,594 46,594 0.00% 47.70% 51,414 50.00% 20.00% KW Phys Specialist, Mo-CA 100.00% 107,787 107,787 47.70% 51,414 20.00% Vac Phys Specialist, Mo-CA 100.00% 75,871 0.00% 47.70% 41,910 40.00% Vac Phys Specialist, Mo-CA 100.00% 75,871 75,871 0.00% 47.70% 41,910 40.00% Vac Phys Specialist, Phylac 100.00% 64,638 64,638 0.00% 41,910 52.30% Vac Phys Pachalist, Phylac 100.00% 64,638 0.00% 52,855 15.00% Vac Phylac 23,270 23,270 20.00% Vac Phylac 23,270 20.00% Vac 44,638 64,638 64,638 64,638 64,638 64,638 64,638 64,638 64,638 64,638 64,638 64,638 64, | . 5e | i R | | 55.639 | 55.639 | %00 0 | | | | 10.00% | 5.564 | | | 30.00% | 16 602 | | ď | , PO 00% | 2, 1 | 52.30% | Τ, |
| KW Phys Specialist, Mo-CA 100.00% 107,787 107,787 107,787 107,787 107,787 20.00% Vac Phys Specialist, Mo-CA 100.00% 75,871 75,871 0.00% 40.00% 40.00% 40.00% 20.00% VRJ Program Manager II-BIP 100.00% 87,882 87,892 87,892 87,892 87,892 87,892 87,892 87,892 87,892 87,892 87,892 87,892 87,892 87,892 87,892 87,892 87,892 87,892 87,892 87,892 | 23 | | 100.00% | 46,594 | 46,594 | %00.0 | | | | 5.00% | 2.330 | | | 30.00% | 13.978 | T | 1 4 | 65.00% | _ | 45.00% | < |
| Vac Phys Specialist, MD-CA 100 00% T5,871 0.00% 40.00% | 28 | Š | - | 107,787 | 107,787 | | | | 1 | 47.70% | 51.414 | | | 20.00% | 21.557 | T | | 32.30% | _ | %0E 25 | () |
| YRJ Program Manager II-BII 100.00% YS_BII 100.00% 75,871 0.00% 40.00% 40.00% 40.00% Vac Prog. Specialist. PHAn. Cl 100.00% 87,882 | 20 | Vac | | | | | | | 1 | | | | 1 | | | | | | ent-more | | T |
| vac Prg. Specialist. PHN-nc 100.00% 75,871 0.00% 40.00% 40.00% 40.00% CH Prog. Administrator,HS-100.00% 87,882 87,872 87,872 87,872 87,00% 87,00% 87,00% 87,00% 87,00% 87,00% 87,00% 87,00% 87,00% 87,00% 87,00% 87,00% 87,00% 87,00% 87,00% 87,00% | 8 | YRJ | | | | | | | J | | <u></u> | | | | J | | <u></u> | | 1 | | Ī |
| CH Prog. Administrator,HS 100.00% 87,862 87,862 87,862 87,862 87,862 87,862 87,862 87,862 87,862 87,862 87,862 87,862 87,876 87,876 82,00% 82,377 87,00% | Ē | vac | | 75,871 | 15,871 | %00'0 | | | L | 40.00% | 30,349 | | I | 40.00% | 30,349 | | 2 | 20.00% | 15,174 | 64.00% | × |
| vac PHN-PCG-NCC 100.00% 64,638 64,638 0.00% 64,638 0.00% 64,638 0.00% 64,638 0.00% 64,638 0.00% 0.00% 0.2,895 9 15.00% | 32 | H. | | 87,862 | 87,862 | | | | ! | 47.70% | 41,910 | | L | 52.30% | 45,952 | | <u> </u> | | 1 40 | 52.30% | × |
| CJ PHIN-HFP 100.00% 64,638 64,638 0.00% 64,638 0.00% 64,638 0.00% 64,638 0.00% 64,638 0.00% 64,638 | E | vac | 100.00% | 64,638 | 64,638 | | | | L | 36.00% | 23,270 | | | 20.00% | 12,928 | | 4 | 44.00% | 28,441 6 | 64.00% | × |
| Vac PHN-PCG-Prop99 100.00% 64,638 64,638 64,638 64,638 73.270 23.270 20.00% XH PHN-PCG-Prop99 100.00% 64,638 64,638 64,638 23.270 20.00% SH PHN-PCG 100.00% 64,638 64,638 64,638 23,270 20.00% RM PHN-PCG 100.00% 64,638 64,638 64,638 60.00% 23,270 20.00% | Ā | | 100.00% | 64,638 | 64,638 | %000 | | | | 40.00% | 25,855 | | 1 | 15.00% | 969'6 | | 4 | 45.00% | 29,087 | 64.00% | × |
| XH PHN-PCG-Prop99 100.00% 64,638 64,638 64,638 23,270 20,00% SH PHN-PCG 100.00% 64,638 64,638 23,270 20,00% RM PHN-PCG 100.00% 64,638 64,638 23,270 20,00% | £5. | | 100.00% | 64,638 | 64,638 | | | | | 36.00% | 23,270 | | 1 | 20.00% | 12,928 | | 4 | 44.00% | | 64.00% | × |
| SH PHN-PCG 100 00% 64,638 64,638 64,638 23,270 20,00% RM PHN-PCG 100 00% 64,638 64,638 64,638 23,270 20,00% | 38 | _ | 100.00% | 64,638 | 64,638 | | | | | 36,00% | 23,270 | | | 20.00% | 12,928 | | 4 | 44.00% | - | | × |
| RM PHN-PG 100.00% 64,636 64,638 23,270 20.00% | 37 | Ŧ, | 100.00% | 64,638 | 64,638 | | | | | 36.00% | 23,270 | | | 20.00% | 12,928 | | 44 | 44.00% | | | × |
| | 80 | ₹ | 100.00% | 64,638 | 64,638 | | | The state of the s | | 36 00% | 23,270 | | | 20.00% | 12,928 | | 44 | 44.00% | 28,441 64 | 64.00% | × |

| 1 🕳 | (4) (5) State Constant of Funds of Fund | (6) 7, 40.00% 36.00% 40.00% 40.00% 40.00% 40.00% 40.00% 40.00% 40.00% | (7) Lacal** 25,855 23,270 23,270 25,855 25,855 25,855 25,855 25,855 | Combined FedfSule | (10) " 15.00% | (11) Combined ' FediAgency | (12) (13) Combined Fedislate | (14) % | (15) Combined* | (16) (17) |
|--|--|---|--|--|---------------------|----------------------------------|------------------------------|-----------|-------------------|------------|
| Paral Federal Paral Federa | % 9E | | 25 55 55 55 55 55 55 55 55 55 55 55 55 5 | Combined F-d/State | 15.00% | Combined * FediAgency | | | Combined* | ~∉e |
| | 8859 | 36.00% 36.00% 40.00% 40.00% 40.00% 40.00% 40.00% 40.00% | | | 15.00% | The second second | | | FediAgency | |
| %0000 %0000 %0000 %0000 %0000 %0000 %0000 %0000 %0000 | | 36.00% 36.00% 40.00% 40.00% 40.00% 40.00% 40.00% 40.00% | 23,270 23,270 25,855 25,855 25,855 25,855 | | | 9,696 | | 45.00% | | 64.00% x |
| | | 36.00% 40.00% 40.00% 40.00% 40.00% 40.00% 40.00% | 23,270 25,855 25,855 25,855 25,855 | | 20.00% | 12 92B | | /4000 878 | - | |
| | | 40.00% 40.00% 40.00% 40.00% 40.00% 40.00% 40.00% | 25,855 25,855 25,855 25,855 | 1 | 20.00% | 12,928 | | 44.00% | 28,44,1 | 64.00% x |
| | | 40.00% 40.00% 40.00% 40.00% 40.00% 40.00% | 25,855 25,855 | | 15.00% | 969'6 | | 45.00% | 29,087 | 64.00% x |
| | | 40.00% 40.00% 40.00% 40.00% | 25,855 | | 15.00% | 969'6 | T | 45.00% | | 64.00% x |
| %00'0 %00'0 %00'0 %00'0 %00'0 %00'0 %00'0 | | 40.00% 40.00% 40.00% 40.00% | | | 15.00% | 969'6 | | 45.00% | 29,087 | 64.00% × |
| 0.00% 0.00% 0.00% 0.00% 0.00% 0.00% | | 40.00% 40.00% 40.00% | | | | | | 000 | | 34.00.7¢ × |
| %00 0 %00 0 %00 0 %00 0 %00 0 %00 0 | | 40.00% | 28,044 | | 40.00% | 28,044 | | 20.00% | | 64.00% x |
| 0.00% 0.00% 0.00% 0.00% 0.00% | | 40.00% | 25,855 | | 15.00% | 969'6 | T | 45.00% | | |
| | | | 25,855 | | 15.00% | 909 0 | T | 45.00% | _ | |
| %00.0 %00.0 %00.0 | | 40.00% | 25,855 | <u> </u> | 15.00% | 969'6 | | 45.00% | 20,007 | 54.00% × |
| 0.00% | | 40.00% | 25,855 | I | 15.00% | 969'6 | | 45.00% | - | 64.00% |
| %00 0 00 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 | | 40.00% | 25,855 | | 15.00% | 969'6 | | 45.00% | - | Ļ |
| %00.0 | | 40.00% | 25,855 | I | 15.00% | 969'6 | | 45.00% | _ | L |
| | | 40.00% | 25,855 | · · · · · | 15.00% | 969'6 | | 45.00% | | 84.00% x |
| | | 47.70% | 30,230 | | 52.30% | 33,145 | | | - | |
| | | 47.70% | 30,230 | | 52.30% | 33,145 | | | | 52.30% x |
| | | 47.70% | 24,936 | | 52.30% | 27,340 | | | 49 | 52,30% x |
| | | 47.70% | 24,936 | | 52.30% | 27,340 | T | | 41 | 52.30% x |
| | | 40.000 | 700.00 | | | | | | un] | 52.30% |
| | | 10.00% | 3,921 | | 90.00% | 35,285 | | | ar j | 95.00% |
| - | | 47.70% | 18,695 | _1 | 52.30% | 20.498 | - | | | 52,30% |
| %00.0 | | 30.00% | 17,108 | | 20.00% | 11,405 | | 50.00% | 28,513 9 | 95.00% x |
| 50,140 | | 47.70% | 23,917 | <u> </u> | 52.30% | 26,223 | | | _ | |
| 45,962 | | 47.70% | 21,924 | 1 | 52.30% | 24,038 | | | <u> in</u> | |
| 47,509 | | 47.70% | 22,662 | | 52.30% | 24,847 | | | in . | 52.30% x |
| 34,883 | | 47.70% | 16,639 | | 52.30% | 18,244 | | | šī | 52.30% |
| 34,663 47,502 | | 10.00% | 3,488 | | 90.00% | 31,394 | T | | 8 3 | L |
| 48,205 | | 47.70% | 22.994 | | 52.30% | 25.211 | | | ñ £ | 52.30% X |
| 48,205 | | 47.70% | 22,994 | | 52.30% | 25.211 | T | | 1 57 | <u> </u> |
| 74,202 | | 47.70% | 35,394 | <u></u> | 52.30% | 38,808 | | | 25 | L |
| 74,202 | | 47.70% | 35,394 | <u> </u> | 52.30% | 38,808 | | | 29 | 丄 |
| 74,202 | | 47.70% | 35,394 | <u></u> | 52.30% | 38,808 | | | 25 | Ļ. |
| 74,202 | | 47.70% | 35,394 | L | 52.30% | 38,808 | | | 52 | Ļ |
| 74,202 | | 47.70% | 35,394 | 1 | 52.30% | 38,808 | I | | 52 | 52.30% X |
| 74,202 | | 47.70% | 35,394 | <u> </u> | 52.30% | 38,808 | | | 52 | Ļ |
| 78,343 | | 47.70% | 37,370 | L | 52.30% | 40,973 | | | 52 | |
| 75,232 | | 47.70% | 35,886 | <u> </u> | 52.30% | 39,346 | | | 52 | |
| 13,315 | | 47.70% | 6,351 | | 52.30% | 6,964 | | | 52 | 52.30% |
| 6.908 | | 47.70% | 3,295 | | 52.30% | 3,613 | | | 52 | 52.30% |
| 13,095 | | 47.70% | 6,246 | | 52.30% | 6,849 | 7 | | 52. | 52.30% |

| AGENCY MCH-N (3) (10) Complese (20150) Complese (20150) Complese (3) (10) Complese (4) FedState (5) FedState | 10,960 | | 25,855 15,00% 9,696 45,00% 29,087 | 25,855 15.00% 9,696 45.00% 29,087 | 25,855 15.00% 9,696 45.00% 29,087 | 15.00% 9,696 45.00% 29,087 | 13.00% 26,612 40.00% 24.652 20.00% 41.00% | 30,406 | 64.00% 33,457 | 64.00% 37,387 | 9,696 48.30% 31,220 | 30.00% 15,683 | | | | | | | | | | | |
|--|---|--|-----------------------------------|-----------------------------------|-----------------------------------|----------------------------|--|------------------------------|------------------------------|---------------|---------------------|---------------|---|-----|----------------|--|----------|----------|---|---|---|---|----------------|
| INMATCHED FUNDING MCH-TV MCH-GF MCH-NN | 9,996 52.30% 10,960 40 nnv. 24 F20 | 34,529 40.00% 34,529 20.00% 38,073 40.00% 38,073 20.00% | 25,855 15,00% 9,696 45,00% | 25,855 15,00% 9,696 45,00% | 25,855 15.00% 9,696 45.00% | 15.00% B B B B 1 15.00% | 40.00% | 64.00% 30,406 | 64.00% | 64.00% | 9,696 | 7 | | | | | | | | | | | |
| INMATCHED FUNDING NON-ENHANCED MATCHING (80/50) NON-ENHA | 9,996 52.30% 10,960 34,529 40.00% 24.520 | 34,529 38,073 40,00% 38,073 | 25,855 | 25,855 15,00% 9,696 | 25,855 15,00% 9,696 | 15.00% | 40.00% 24.652 | 64.00% 30,406 | 64.00% | 64.00% | 969'6 | 7 | | | | | | | | | | | |
| INMATCHED FUNDING NON-ENHANCED MATCHING (50/50) NON-ENHA | 9,996 52.30% 10,960 40.00% 24.529 | 38,073 40.00% | 25,855 | 25,855 | 25,855 | 15.00% | 40.00% | 64.00% | 64.00% | 64.00% | | 7 | | | | | | | | | | | |
| INMATCHED FUNDING NON-ENHANCED MATCHING (80)50 NON-ENHA | 9,996 52.30% 10,960 40.00% 24.529 | 38,073 40.00% | 25,855 | 25,855 | 25,855 | 15.00% | 40.00% | 64.00% | 64.00% | 64.00% | | 7 | | | | | | | | | | | |
| INMATCHED FUNDING | 9,996 52.30% 34,529 An now. | 38,073 40.00% | 25,855 | 25,855 | 25,855 | 15.00% | 40.00% | 64.00% | 64.00% | 64.00% | | 7 | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 1) (2) (3) (4) (5) (5) (7) (8) (10) (1 | 9,996 | 34,229 | 25,855 | 25,855 | 25,855 | | | | | | 15.00% | 30.00% | | | | The state of the s | | | | | | - | |
| 1) (2) MCH-TV MCH-GF AGENCY (1) (2) (3) (4) (5) (5) (6) (7) (8) (7 | | -, | тт | т- | -1 | 23,708 | 24,652 | 7,103 | 110 | | | | | | <u> </u> | | | <u> </u> | | | | | |
| 1) (2) MCH-TV MCH-GF AGENCY (1) (2) (3) (4) (5) (5) (6) (7) (8) (7) (8) (7) (8) (7) (8) (7) (8) (7) (8) (7) (8) (7) (8) (7) (8) (7) (8) (7) (8) (7) (8) (7) (8) (7) (8) (7) (8) (7) (8) (7) (8 | | -, | тт | т- | -1 | 23,708 | 24,652 | 7,103 | 19 | | | | | I | Т | | | | | | | | |
| 1) (2) MCH-TV MCH-GF AGE (1) (2) (3) (4) (5) (1) | | -, | тт | т- | -1 | 23,708 | 24,652 | 7,103 | <u> </u> | | | | | - 1 | | | | | | | | | |
| (1) (2) (3) (4) (5) (6) (7. This V T T This V T T This V T This V T This V T T This V T T This V T T This V T T T T T T T T T T T T T T T T T T | 47.70% | 40.00% | 40.00% | %00.0 | | | | _ | 18,819 | 21,030 | 23,722 | CEC'no | | | | | | | | | | | |
| (1) (2) (3) (4) MCH-GF ACL FUNDING 20,956 UNMATCHED FUNDING MCH-GF ACH-TOW ACH-GF ACH-TOW ACH | <u>. T.</u> | | | =15 | 40.00% | 40.00% | 40.00% | 36.00% | 36,00% | 36.00% | 36.70% | 8/000 | | | T | | | | | | | | |
| (1) (2) MCH-TV (1) (2) (3) (4) TAL FUNDING % Tille V % 20,956 | | | | <u> </u> | | | L | [.,] | | -1 | e |] | | | | | <u> </u> | | | | | | |
| (1) (2) MCH-TV (1) (2) (3) (AL FUNDING % THIs V 20,956 | T | | | T | T | T | | | | Τ | | T | | T | 1 | | | | | | | | \overline{T} |
| (1) (2) (AL FUNDING ", 20,956 | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| (1) ral Fuhding 20,956 | 1 | Т Т | Г | | Т | T | | Т | | - | | 1 | | | - 1 | | 1 | | | 7 | | | |
| Los Angeles TOTAL FUNDIN 20,956 20,956 | 0.00% | 44 | | %00.0 | 4 | - | %00.0 | | | | 0.00% | <u> </u> | | | | | | | | | | | |
| Los Angelas | 20,956 | 95,183 | 64,638 | 64,638 | 64,638 | 59,271 | 61,630 | 47,509 | 52,276 | 58,417 | 52.276 | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| | 20,956 | 95,183 | 64,638 | 64,638 | 64,638 | 59,271 | 61,630 | 47,509 | 52,276 | 54 F 3 B | 52,276 | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| County of 200419 | 100.00% | 100.00% | 100.00% | 100.00% | 100.00% | 100.00% | 100.00% | | | 100.00% | 100.00% | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| Wkg | 11 | r-34A-NF | NFP FP | PHN-34A-NFP | YW PHN-34A-NFP | CM PHN-34A-NFP | MLie PHN Supervisor-34A-N 100.00% | LKe Sr. Secretary III-34A-NF | CMo into Surions And 111-34A | PHN-IMPP | RAII-IMPP | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| Program: Agency: Agreement No.: EXPENSE CATEGORY 85 NM Student Prof | JSm Program Administrator, | CCh Nurse Manager-34A-NF 100.00% | MDa PHN-34A-NFP DK PHN-34A-NFP | 4N-34/ | ₹ -2-X | -IN-34 | N Sup | Ø. | i . | - 1 - | 13 | | 1 | - | | | | | ı | | ŧ | | |

| | ND MEDI, CAL FACTOR IDENTIFICATION |
|--|------------------------------------|
| | D MEDICAL |
| CAC STATE OF THE PARTY OF THE P | SHEET A |
| | AL BENEFITS WORK |
| | ACTUAL BE |
| - | PERSONNEL |

| Program: | am: | Malernal a | and Child Health | 4 | | | | | | | |
|----------|-----------------------------|------------|-----------------------|--------------|------------------------------|--|--------------------------------------|---|-----------------------|---|---|
| Agency: | ıcy; | County of | County of Los Angeles | | (2) | (3) | (4) | (5) (5) (5) | THE SECTION OF STREET | (1) | |
| Agre | Agreement No.: | 200419 | | (1) Sec. (1) | | | | が開発が | の一個では | | |
| | | | | TOTAL | Benefit Rate Per Staff | Actual Ac | MCF from Budgat Column (16) | Variable Z. MCF (Enter TV If (rue) | Program Name | Medical Factor Medical Factor Data Source | Westplan any Anna Standard Med-Cal Factor Data Source |
| | A STAFFING | % FTE | ANNUAL | | | | | | | | |
| | NK Accountant II | 100.00% | 46,819 | 46,819 | 40.07% | 18,758.62 | 0.5 | Ad | Admin Core | Base MCF | |
| 7 | LT Admin Asst II ADM | 100.00% | 50,140 | 50,140 | 40.07% | 20,088.95 | 9.0 | W | ء. | Base MCF | |
| - | KL APS, PHN CAH | 100.00% | 70,111 | 70,111 | 40.07% | 29,410.59 | 9.0 | САН | | Base MCF | |
| * | LE APS, PHN CPSP | 100.00% | 70,111 | 70,111 | 40.01% | 29,410.59 | 1.0 | Ç | CPSP | Program | The purpose of this program is to provide Med-Cel services to Madi-Cal elinitia prenant woman |
| <u></u> | JF APS, PHN CPSP | 100.00% | 70,111 | 70,111 | 40.07% | 29,410.59 | 1.0 | CF | CPSP | Program | The purpose of this program is to provide Medi-Cal services to Medi-Cal eligible prepara woman |
| 40 | Vac APS, PHN CPSP | 100.00% | 70,111 | 70,111 | 40.07% | 29,410.59 | 1.0 | Ç | CPSP | Program | The purpose of this program is to provide Medi-Cal services to Medi-Cal elinible premant woman |
| | OA APS, PHN CPSP | 100.00% | 70,111 | 70,111 | 40.07% | 29,050.59 | 1.0 | Ö | CPSP | Program | The purpose of this program is to provide Medi-Cal services to Medi-Cal eligible pregant women |
| - | Vac APS, PHN PCG | 100.00% | 70,111 | 70,111 | 40.07% | 28,090.59 | 9.0 | PC | PCG | Targeted zlp codes | NFP/PCG Program provides outreach, education and case management services in targeted high risk zin cordes |
| - | VR APS, PHN - NFP | 100.00% | 70,111 | 70,111 | 40.07% | 29,410.59 | 9.0 | ddN | | Targeted zip codes | NFP/PCG Program provides outreach, education and case management services in largelled high risk zin cordes |
| 2 | vac Chief Physician II MCH | H 100.00% | 67,314 | 67,314 | 40.07% | 26,969.96 | 0.5 | JW | MCAH Admin | Base MCF | renon da un table park |
| = | RS Chief Physician I MCH- | 1- 100.00% | 189,277 | 189,277 | 40.07% | 75,835.66 | 0.5 | W | MCAH Admin | Base MCF | |
| 12 | SG Clin. SW Cusil CAH-ncc | %00.001 pc | 70,628 | 70,628 | 40.07% | 28,297.72 | 0.5 | САН | | Base MCF | |
| 2 | Vac Clin.SW Consultant CP | P 100.00% | 70,628 | 70,628 | 40.07% | 28,297.72 | 1.0 | CP | CPSP | Program | The purpose of this program is to provide Medi-Cal services to Medi-Cal eligible pregant women. |
| Ξ | LW Clin.SW Cnslt -CAH | 100.00% | 63,565 | 63,565 | 40.07% | 25,467.95 | 0.5 | CAH | | Base MCF | |
| 5 | CN Epi. Analyst REP | 100.00% | 49,766 | 49,766 | 40.07% | 19,939,36 | 0.5 | REP | | Base MCF | |
| 9 | Dt. Epidmiologist REP | 100.00% | 68,065 | 68,065 | 40.07% | 27,271.01 | 0.5 | REP | | Base MCF | |
| = | AA Health Ed. Asst. CPSP | 100.00% | 39,290 | 39,290 | 40.07% | 16,941.82 | 1.0 | CP | CPSP | Program | The purpose of this program is to provide Medi-Cal services to Medi-Cal aligible pregant women. |
| = | SC Info System Sys. Ana. | 100.00% | 58,417 | 58,417 | 40.07% | 23,405.38 | 0.5 | REP | | Base MCF | |
| = | CG Info Sys. Ana. II REP | 100.00% | 58,417 | 58,417 | 40.07% | 23,405.38 | 0.5 | REP | | Base MCF | |
| 22 | SS Int. Typist Clerk REP-no | nd 100.00% | 30,144 | 30,144 | 40.07% | 12,077.52 | 0.5 | REP | | Base MCF | |
| 2 | SP Int. Typist Clerk - ADM | 100.00% | 30,959 | 30,959 | 40.07% | 12,404.12 | 0.5 | MCH | | Base MCF | |
| 22 | LE Int. Typist Clerk-NFP | 100.00% | 30,144 | 30,144 | 40.07% | 12,077.52 | 9.0 | NFP | | Targated zip codes | NFP/PCG Program provides outreach, aducation and case management services in largeted high risk zip codes. |
| 23 | SW Int. Typist Clerk-NFP | 100.00% | 30,144 | 30,144 | 40.07% | 12,077.52 | 9.0 | NFP | | Targeled zlp codes | NFP/PCG Program provides outreach, education and case management services in largeled high risk zip codes. |
| 24 0 | GC Int. Typist Clerk-PCG | 100.00% | 30,144 | 30,144 | 40.07% | 12,077.52 | 9.0 | PCG | | Targeted zip codes | NFP/PCG Program provides outreach, education and case management services in largeted high risk zip codes. |
| 52 | AE Int. Typist Clerk-CHI(no | c 100.00% | 30,217 | 30,217 | 40.07% | 13,306.73 | 0.5 | H | | Base MCF | |
| 32 | JR Nurse Manager-CPSP | 100.00% | 55,639 | 55,639 | 40.07% | 22,292.26 | 1.0 | CPSP | | Program | The purpose of this program is to provide Medi-Cal services to Medi-Cal eligible pregant women. |
| 27 | TH Nutritionist II-CPSP | 100.00% | 46,594 | 46,594 | 40.07% | 18,668.37 | 1.0 | CPSP | | Program | The purpose of this program is to provide Medi-Cal services to Medi-Cal eligible pregant women. |
| 28 | Kw Phys. Specialist, MD- C | 100.00% | 107,787 | 107,787 | 40.07% | 43,185.83 | 0.5 | CAH | | Base MCF | |
| 53 | Vac Phys.Specialist, MD-C/ | A 100.009% | | | | | | CAH | | Base MCF | |
| 8 | YRJ Program Manager II-BII | 100.00% | | | | | | HB | | | |
| - | vac Prg. Specialist, PHN-nc | c 100.00% | 75,871 | 75,871 | 40.07% | 31,718.51 | 9.0 | NFP | | Targeted zip codes | MFP/PCG Program provides outreach, education and case menagement services in largeted high risk zip codes. |
| 32 | CH Prog. Administrator, HS | 3 100.00% | 87,862 | 87,862 | 40.07% | 35,202.69 | 0.5 | MCH | | Base MCF | |
| Ę, | vac PHN-PCG-NCC | 100.00% | 64,638 | 64,638 | 40.07% | 28,417.80 | 9.0 | PCG | | Targeted zip codes | NFP/PCG Program provides outreach, education and case management services in largeted high risk zip codes. |

Print Date: 6/29/04

| 40.07% 28,417.80 | 638 64,638 40.07% 28,417.80 |
|--|-----------------------------|
| 64 638 40.07% 27,217,80 0.5 64 638 40.07% 28 417 BO 0.6 | 40.07% 27,217.80 |
| 40.07% 27,217.80 | 64,638 40.07% 27,217.80 |
| 64,638 40.07% 28,417.80 0.6 | 40.07% 28,417.80 |
| 64,638 40.07% 27,217.80 0.6 | 40.07% 27,217.80 |
| 64,638 40.07% 28,417.80 | 40.04 |
| 64,638 40.07% 28,417.80 | 40.07% |
| 64,638 40.07% 27,217.80 0.6 | 40.07% 27,217.80 |
| 40.07% 28,417.80 | 40.07% 28,417.80 |
| 64,638 40.07% 28,417.80 0.6 | 40.07% 28,417.80 |
| 64,638 40.07% 27,217.80 0.6 | 40.07% 27,217.80 |
| 64,638 40.07% 27,217.80 0.6 | 40.07% 27,217.80 |
| | |
| 70,111 40.07% 29,410.59 0.6 | 40.07% 29,410.59 |
| 64,638 40.07% 28,417.80 0.6 | 40.07% 28,417.80 |
| 64,638 40.07% 28,417,80 0.6 | 40.07% 28,417.80 |
| 64,638 40.07% 28,417.80 0.6 | 40.07% 28,417.80 |
| 64,638 40.07% 27,217.80 0.6 | 40.07% 27,217.80 |
| 64,638 40.07% 28,057.80 0.6 | 40.07% 28,057.80 |
| 64,638 40.07% 28,417.80 0.6 | 40.07% 28,417.80 |
| 64,638 40.07% 28,417.80 0.6 | 40.07% 28,417.80 |
| 64,638 40.07% 28,417.80 0.6 | 40.07% 28,417.80 |
| 63,374 40.07% 25,391.52 0.5 | 40.07% 25,391.52 |
| 40.07% 25,391.52 | 63,374 40.07% 25,391.52 |
| 40.07% 20,944.84 | 52,276 40.07% 20,944.84 |
| 52,276 40.07% 20,944.84 0.5 | 40.07% 20,944.84 |
| 40.07% | 39,194 40.07% |
| 39,206 40.07% 15,708.18 | |
| 41,353 40.07% 17,768.50 | _ |
| 39,194 40.07% 16,903.31 0.5 | 40.07% 16,903.31 |
| 57,027 40.07% 24,048.28 1.0 | 24,048.28 |
| 50,140 40.07% 21,288.95 0.5 | 40.07% 21,288.95 |
| 45,962 40.07% 18,414.89 0.5 | 40.07% 18,414.89 |
| 47,509 40.07% 19,034.78 0.5 | 19,034.78 |
| 34,883 40.07% 13,976.05 0.5 | 40.07% 13,976.05 |
| 40.07% | 34,883 40.07% |
| 47,502 40.07% 19,031.91 | 40.07% |
| 40.07% | 48,205 40.07% |
| 48,205 40.07% 19,313.57 | 40.07% |

J-Pers FY 2004-2005

| Column C | 74,202 74,202 40.07% 29,729.79 0.5 CHI Base MGF | 74,202 74,202 40.07% 29,729.79 0.5 CHI Base MCF | 74,202 74,202 40.07% 29,729,79 0.5 CHI Base MCF | 74,202 74,202 40,07% 29,729,79 0.5 CHI Base MCF | 74,202 74,202 40.07% 29,729.79 0.5 CHI Base MCF | 74,202 74,202 40.07% 29,729,79 0.5 CHI Base MCF | 78,343 78,343 40,07% 31,388.91 0.5 CHI Base MCF | 75,232 75,232 40,07% 30,142.31 0.5 CHI Base MCF | 66,575 13,315 40.07% 5,334.77 0.5 Admin Core Base MCF | 69,075 6,908 40.07% 2,767.55 0.5 Admin Core lasse MCF | 87,300 13,095 40,07% 5,246.63 0.5 Admin Core Base MCF | 20,956 20,956 0.5 Admin Base MCF | 86,323 86,323 40.07% 34,585.97 0.6 NFP Targeled zip codes NFP Program provides perinalal home visitation services in largaled high risk zip codes. | 95,183 95,183 40.07% 38,135,97 0.6 NFP Targeled zlp codes NFP Program provides perhalal home visitation services in Targeled high risk zip codes. | 64,638 64,638 40,07% 27,217.80 0.6 NFP . Targeled zlp codes NFP Program provides perinatal home visitation services in targeled high risk zlp codes. | 64,638 64,638 40,07% 26,657,80 0.6 NFP Targeled zip codes NFP Program provides perinalal home visitation services in targeled high risk zip codes. | 64,638 64,638 40.07% 27.217.80 0.6 NFP Targeted zip codes NFP Program provides partneted in largeted high riek zip codes. | 64,638 64,638 40.07% 27,217.80 0.6 NFP Targeled zip codes NFP Program provides perinalal home visitation services in largeled high risk zip codes. | 59,271 59,271 40,07% 25,067,35 0.6 NFP Targeled zip codes NFP Program provides perimalal home visitation services in targeted high risk zip codes. | 61,630 61,630 40.07% 27,212.53 0.6 NFP Targeled zip codes NFP Program provides permatel home visiteation services in largeled high risk zip codes. | 47,509 40.07% 19,994.78 0.6 NFP Targeled zip codes NFP Program provides perimatal home visitation services in largeled high risk zip codes. | 52,276 52,276 40.07% 23,464.84 0.6 NFP Targeled zip codes NFP Program provides perinalal home visitation services in targeled high risk zip codes. | 58,417 58,417 40.07% 23,405.38 0.6 NFP Targeted zip codes NFP Program provides perimatel home visitation services in targeted high risk zip codes. | 64,638 64,638 40.07% 25,897.80 0.8 IMPP San Diago State Univ. FY2002 Medi-Cal factor data for SPA 1 from BH Evaluation Project, San Diago State University | 52,276 52,276 40.07% 23,464.84 0.5 IMPP Base MCF | | | |
|--|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|----------------------------------|--|---|--|--|---|--|--|--|---|--|--|--|--|----|-----|--|
| Benefil Rate Per | 40.07% | 40.07% | 40.07% | 40.07% | 40.07% | 40.07% | 40.07% | 40.07% | 40.07% | 40.07% | 40.07% 5 | | 40.07% | 40.07% | 40.07% | 40.07% | 40.07% | 40.07% | 40.07% | 40.07% | 40.07% | 40.07% | 40.07% | 40.07% | 40.07% | | | |
| (1) (TOTAL) (FUNDING | | 74 | | 74 | | 14 | 78 | 75 | 575 13 | 9 | | | | | 638 | | 638 64 | | 271 59 | | 509 | 52 | . 58 | 64 | 276 52 | | | |
| 200419 | 100.00% 74 | 100.00% 74 | 100.00% 74 | 100.00% 74 | 100.00% 74 | 100.00% 74 | 100.00% 78 | 100.00% 75 | 20.00% 66 | 10.00% 69 | 15.00% 87 | 100.00% 20 | 100.00% 86 | 100.00% 95 | 100.00% 64 | 100.00% 64 | 100.00% 64 | 100.00% 64 | 100.00% 59 | 100.00% 61 | 100.00% 47 | 100.00% | 100.00% 58 | 100.00% 64 | 100.00% 52 | | | |
| Agreement No.: 200419 | 74 AT Staff Analyst Health-AD | 75 SB Staff Analyst Health-NO | 76 GH Staff Analyst Health-NC | 77 JA Staff Analyst Health-NC | 78 vad Staff Analyst Health-NC | 79 IW Staff Analyst Health-NC | 80 SC Sr. Staff Analyst, NCC-(| 81 WS Sr. Staff Analyst, NCC-C | 82 BS Asst. Staff Analyst | 83 Vac HO Dept Pers. Tech | 84 JA Sr. HS Fiscal Ana | 85 NM Student Prof. Wkrs | 86 JSm Program Adminstrator, | 87 CCh Nurse Manager-34A-NE | 88 MDa PHN-34A-NFP | 69 DK PHN-34A-NFP | 90 LV PHN-34A-NFP | 91 YW PHN-34A-NFP | 92 CM PHN-34A-NFP | 93 MLie PHN Supervisor-34A-N | 94 LKe Sr. Secretary III-34A-NF | 95 KPe Research Ana.III-34A | B6 GMo Info Systems Ana.II-34/ | 97 vac PHN-IMPP | 98 vac RAII-IMPP | 66 | 001 | |

BUDGET JUSTIFICATION

Program: Maternal and Child Health
Agency: County of Los Angeles 200419 Agreement No .:

| | | Amount Budgeted | Enter the rates for each item below* | |
|-----------|---------------|-----------------------------------|--|----------|
| Tra | ivel Expenses | | Agency mileage rate per mile: | 0.33 |
| a ing | | 55,881 | Agency per diem rate: | 55.00 |
| - | | | Agency maximum lodging rate: | 165.50 |
| | | | *Agency responsible for reimbursement of costs above allowable State rates | · 在一下一下。 |
| . realist | | aan 医乳腺性 医皮肤 医皮肤 经产品 的第三人称单数 "我们" | and the control of the transfer to the control of t | |

Explain types of training Explain types of training Registration fees for MCAH Program staff to attend required and related traiings or workshops; MCH Action 30,234 Training Expenses annual membership dues.

"X" this column if the Operating Other Operating Expense line is

Expenses Discription Amount ***Unmatched Expenses necessary for staff to carry out the daily activities to

| GENERAL EXPENSE - MCAH | 166,237 | | Explain types of expenses per line item Expenses necessary for staff to carry out the daily activities to accomplish MCAH goals and objective Expenses include: educational materials, speakers, honorariums, conferences, inservices, room rent supplies, equipment maintenance, printing/reproduction, postage/mail services & communications. |
|--|---------|---|---|
| SPACE RENATAL - MCAH | 255,416 | | Space rental at MCAH Headquarters, and other offsite locations for program staff. Expenses include: ground and building maintenance, custodial services, security, storage and parking. |
| PROGRAM REDIRCTIVES - MCAH | 2,000 | x | MCAH Program redirectives to promote the MCAH Programs. Examples include: health fair program materials, pens/pencils, etc. |
| CHILDREN'S PLANNING COUNCIL | 5,000 | | Expenses necessary for the collaboration of countywide children's planning activities. |
| CA HOSPITAL MED CENT ADMINISTRATION-NFP | 2,000 | | CHMC administration expense includes costs associated with servin as the project fiscal agent and coordination of the project. Costs include: accounting and audit costs, and other administrative costs |
| CELLULAR PHONES - NFP | 5,400 | | Cell phones for 4 nurses and one supervisor to perform field services. The cell phone numbers are properties to clients to facilitate rapid communication processes. |
| PROGRAM SUPPLIES - NFP | 3,500 | × | Program supplies for clients include: educational videos, educational materials, car seats, infant carrie home medical supplies [e.g. thermometer, nasal suction bulbs]. |
| OFFICE SUPPLIES -NFP | 999 | | Office supplies necessary to carry out daily activities. Expenses include: duplicating client training mapper, and desk supplies. |
| COMPUTER/PRINTER Equipment - NFP | 1,000 | | Cost of computer maintenance, paper, and supplies. |
| HEALTH SUPPLIES - NFP | 1,000 | | Cost of medical supplies for the nurses such as blood pressure cuffs, baby weight scales, measuring height/weight charts, and developmental assessment tools. |
| | | | |
| | | | |
| | | | |
| | | | |
| | | | |

^{••} Unmatched Operating Expenses are not eligible for Federal matching funds (Title XIX). If there are any questions regarding which expenses may not be eligible for Federal matching funds please contact the appropriate MCH Program Consultant.

BUDGET JUSTIFICATION

| | Program: | Materna | il and Child Health |
|-------|---------------------------|-----------|--|
| | Agreement No. | ###### ## | of Los Angeles |
| | | Amount | |
| | Subcontracts | Budgeted | Explain each expense |
| | UNIVERSITY OF COLORADO | 7,560 | Agreement with the Regents of the University of Colorado on behalf of the University of Colorado Health Sciences Center (UCHSC) School of Nursing for the Nurse Family Partnership program. UCHSC will provide training, support, and technical assistance required to operate and evaluate the NFP Program. |
| 2 | | | |
| | · | | |
| 10 | | | |
| 4 | | | |
| 5 | | | |
| 6 | | | |
| | | | |
| | | | |
| 8 | | | |
| | Other Charges | | |
| | Other Indirect* | | As approved by the County Auditor-Controller, indirect costs include services from various County departments: County Board of Supervisors, Auditor-Controller, Chief Administrative Office, Human Resources, Internal Services Division, and Health Services Department overhead. |
| | Computer Equipment - MCAH | 5,000 | Computer system/software upgrades for MCAH program staff. |
| 1 2 s | | | |
| 3 | | | |
| 4 | | | |
| | | | |
| 5 | | | |
| | | | |
| 遷 | | | |
| | | | |

^{*}Other Indirect is the difference between total indirect and the amount shown for Indirect on the Summary Page.

| I. BUDGET SUMMARY PAGE FY: | 2004-05 | | | | | | Title V Balance | SGF Balance | SGF Balance Total Balance | | | Base MCF | % Personnel Maiched | | | | |
|--|---|-----------|------------------|-----------|---|---|--|-------------|---------------------------------|--------------|-------------|----------|---------------------------|-------------|-----------------------|-----------------|---|
| Budget Revision Number: | Original 🖔 | | | | | | | | | | | 757 | 70.47% | | | | |
| | 1 1 | | | | | | | | | many. | | 1.0 | */ 14:01 | | , | | |
| Program: BIH Black Infant Health | | | | UNMATCH | UNMATCHED FUNDING | | | NON | NON - ENHANCED MATCHING (50/50) | MATCHING (| (05/05 | , a | ENHANCED MATCHING (75/25) | TCHING (75/ | 25) | | |
| Agency: County Of Los Angeles | | | 8IH-TV | | BIH-GF | | AGENCY | | N-Hig | | CNTY-N | | BH-E | | P. P. | | |
| Agreement No.: | Ê | (2) | (2) | (4) | (5) | (9) | (7) | (g) | (6) | (10) | (11) | (12) | (13) | (14) | (15) | (16) | Ē |
| EXPENSE CATEGORY | TOTAL FUNDING | × | Federal | * | State General Funds | * | Local * | ¥ | Combined Fed/State | • | Combined * | | Combined Fad/State | | Combined' | | |
| (I) PERSONNEL | 265,032 | 29.74% | 78,824 | | | | | 54.66% | 144,873 | ν, | | 15.60% | 41,335 | * | 1 | 100% | |
| (ii) OPERATING EXPENSES | 22,437 | 30.91% | 6,935 | 31.61% | 7,093 | 0.55% | 124 | 32.88% | 7,377 | 1.32% | 296 | 2.73% | 612 | | | 100% | |
| (III) CAPITAL EXPENDITURES | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| (IV) OTHER COSTS | 1,786,161 | 26.66% | 476,267 | | | 0,37% | 9'9 | 72.09% | 1,287,684 | 0.88% | 15,652 | | | | | 100% | |
| (V) INDIRECT COSTS 10 000% | 18 878 | 20 60% | 5 500 | | | | | 2000 | | | | | | | | | |
| TOTALS* | _ | 27 130/ | 5,530 KR7 R1E | /a V 2. U | 2007 | ,0CC 0 | 7000 | %15.07 | 13,238 | | | | | | | | - |
| | 4 | 10.1.1. | 210,100 | B/ FC.0 | 560,1 | U,327a | 0,004 | 02.40% | 1,453,172 | 0.70% | 15,948 | 2.00% | 41,947 | | TO THE REAL PROPERTY. | | |
| Maximum Amount Payable from State and Federal resources: | resources: | | 2,077,802 | _ | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 1 | | | | | | | | 4 | | | | | | | | | |
| · | | | State Funding | | | | Budgeted | | Balances | | % of Budget | | | | | | |
| Total Title V | *************************************** | | 567,616 | | | | 567,616 | | | | 77.6% | | | | | | |
| Total State General Funds | | 1 | 744,166 | | | | 744,166 | • | (0) | | 36% | | | | | | _ |
| Total Agency General Fund Total Matching Tille XIX | ē | | | | | | 14,658 | | n/a n/a | , | 1%1 | | | | | , | |
| | Totals | | 1,311,782 | | | | 2,092,460 | | (0) | | 100% | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | Nacionalitinembalitical | - CATALOGRAPHICA CONTRACTOR CONTR | | | | | | | | | | |
| WE CERTIFY THAT THIS BUDGET HAS BEEN CONSTRUCTED IN COMPLIANCE WITH ALL MCH ADMINISTRATIVE AND PROGRAM POLICIES. | ISTRUCTED IN | COMPLIA | NCE WITH ALI | MCH ADM | INISTRATIVE | AND PROG | RAM POLICIES | , | | | | | | | | T | |
| Chillian Herd | - | · | 7/1/05 | -1 | | | | Jin | Timasadu Mazin | A h | Born | 7 | | 6/20/02/ | 70 | | |
| MCH/PROJECT DIRECTOR'S SIGNATURE | E | | оАте | | | | | AGENCY | FISCAL AGEN | NT'S SIGNATU | RE | | | DATE | | | |
| * These amounts contain local revenue submitted for Mormation and matching purposes. MCH does not reimburse Agency contributions | for Information | and match | ning purposes. | MCH doe | s nol reimburs | e Agency c | contributions. | | | | | | | | | | |
| State Use Only | | | | L | 10 010 | L | | <u></u> | | L | ВІН/ | | | L | BIH | | |
| () PERSONNEL | | | 78,824 | | 15.010 | Ī | | | 144.873 | | CNI | | 41 335 | | CN1Y-E | ********** | |
| (1) OPERATING COSTS | | | 6,935 | | 7,093 | | | | 7,377 | | 148 | | 612 | | | | |
| | | | | | | | | | | | National | | | , | | | |
| (IV) OTHER COSTS (IV) INDIRECT COSTS | | | 476,267 | | | | | | 1,287,684 | | 7,826 | | | | | | |
| Totals for PCA Codes " | 2,077,802 | | 567,616 | | 7,093 | | | | 1,453,172 | | 7,974 | | 41,947 | T | | Carrier Control | |
| | | | | | *************************************** | *************************************** | | | | - | - | | | | | 1 | |

7/1/04-6/30/05

| CATEGORY COUNTY Of Las Angeles 11) 12) 13) 14 to 1. 10 to 1. | Program: B | BIH Black Infant Health | | | | IINMATCHE | INMATCHED FUNDING | | | NON | Captable | , 01111102744 | 10110 | | | | | | |
|---|-----------------------------|--------------------------|--|--|---------------------|-----------|---|----------|--------------------------|----------|------------------------------|--|---|----------|------------------|--|-------------------|---------|----------|
| The control of the | | | | | | | | | | | - ENDANCED | MASCHING | fneine | <u> </u> | ANCED MAI | CHING (75/2 | 2) | ******* | |
| Continue | Agency: | County Of Los Angele | 000000000000000000000000000000000000000 | ici | BIH-TV | | BIH-GF | | AGENCY | | BIH-N | | CNTY-N | | BIH-E | | CNTY-E | | |
| 1 | EXPENSE CATEGORY | | (1) TOTAL FUNDING | (7) % | (3) Faderal | Ē 2 | (C) State General Funds | <u> </u> | (/) Local* Revenue | æ : | (9) Combined FediState | (10) | (11) Combined * | (12) | (13) Combined | | (15) Combined* | (16) | (17) |
| Control Cont | | | | | | | | , | | " | | , | | " | | | | | |
| 1,200 23,549 4,100 23,549 4,100 23,549 4,100 23,549 4,100 23,549 4,100 23,549 4,100 23,549 4,100 23,549 4,100 23,549 23,549 23,540 2 | III. OPERATING EXPEN | SES WORKSHEET | The state of the s | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | Matc | E |
| 1,000 25,500 25 | IOIAL OPERALING EXPENS | ES | 22,437 | | 6,935 | | 7,093 | | 124 | | 77.377 | | 296 | | 612 | | | Head | Acail |
| 1,000 2,00 | TRAVEL | | 4,080 | 29.53% | 1,205 | | | | | 55.47% | 2.263 | THE PERSON NAMED AND ADDRESS OF THE PERSON NAMED AND ADDRESS O | | 15.00% | 612 | | | | |
| 1,500 1,50 | TRAINING | | 1,500 | 29.53% | 443 | | | | | 70.47% | 1.057 | | | | | T | | 1 | |
| 12 12 12 12 13 14 14 15 15 15 15 15 15 | | | 1,500 | 29.53% | 443 | | • | | | 70 47% | 1 057 | | *************************************** | | _ | | | | |
| 12 to the content of the content o | | | 2,937 | 100.00% | 2,937 | | | | endem en | | 20. | | | | | | | - | |
| 1975 | | | 12,000 | 15.89% | 1,907 | 59.11% | 7,093 | | | 25.00% | 3,000 | | | | | | | | 10.47% |
| \$15 WORKSHEET \$18 WO | | ng allowable State rates | 420 | | | | | 29.53% | 124 | | i | 70.47% | 296 | | | | | | 43.47% |
| STS WORKSHEET STS STS STS STS STS STS STS STS STS S | 5 | | | | | | | | | | | |) } } | | | | | | 100 |
| STS WORKSHEET STS WO | 9 | | | | | | • | | | T | | | **** | | | | | | 10.47% |
| \$15 WORKSHEET \$1 | 1 | | | | | | | | | | | | *************************************** | | | | | | 70.47% |
| STS WORKSHEET STS WO | ag | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | 70.47% |
| STS WORKSHEET S10 WORKSHEET S11 WORKSHEET S12 WORKSHEET S12 WORKSHEET S12 WORKSHEET S12 WORKSHEET S13 WORKSHEET S13 WORKSHEET S13 WORKSHEET S14 WORKSHEET S15 WO | a. | | | the first section is not a section in the section in the section is not a section in the section in the section is not a section in the section in the section is not a section in the section in the section is not a section in the section in the section in the section is not a section in the section in the section in the section is not a section in the section in the section in the section is not a section in the section in the section in the section is not a section in the section | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | 70.47% |
| STEST WORKSHEET 1,128,141 | | | | | | | | | week. | | | | - | | | | | | 70.47% |
| STS WORKSHEET STS WORKSHEET STS ST | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | 70.47% |
| STS WORKSHEET 1,758,151 Str. 1,758,151 St | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | - | | 70.47% |
| STS WORKSHET ST | 12 | | | | | | | | | | | | Table 10 | | | | | | 70.47% |
| STS WORKSHEET | 13 | | | | | | *************************************** | | | | | | | | | | - | | V0 478/ |
| STS WORKSHEET ST 200 | - | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | - | | |
| STS WORKSHEET 1,186,161 | 16 | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | • | | 70.47% |
| STS WORKSHEET 1,786,161 A 46,567 A 16,567 A 1 | | | | | | | | | | | | NAME OF TAXABLE PARTY. | | | | A THE REAL PROPERTY OF THE PERSON NAMED IN COLUMN NAMED IN COL | | 1, | 70.47% |
| 1786 1786 18 | IV. OTHER COSTS WO. | RKSHEET | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 10 mask of the blues, Inc. 10 mask of the | TOTAL OTHER COSTS | | 1,786,161 | Annual State of State on State of | 476,267 | | | | 095'9 | | 1,287,684 | | 15,652 | | | | | Match | E |
| 17 17 17 17 17 17 17 17 | SUBCONTRACTS | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | - | Aveil. |
| National Secretarian Institute 327,0026 27,00% 68,586 28,392 27,00% 68,586 28,392 27,00% 69,586 29,392 29,00% 27,300% | 1 Great Beginnings for Blac | :k Bables, Inc | 327,026 | 27.00% | 88,297 | | | | | 73.00% | 238,729 | | | | | | | | 2.53% |
| Collective, Inc. 233 957 27 00% 68,588 230,784 | 2 Harbor UCLA Research a | nd Education Institute | 327,026 | 27.00% | 88,297 | | I | | l | 73.00% | 238,729 | | 1 | | <u> </u> | | | - | 2.53% |
| Foundation Enterprises 236,744 27 00% 64,128 | 3 Mission City Comminity N | etwork, Inc | 253,957 | 27.00% | 68,568 | | 1 | | 1 | 73.00% | 185,389 | | L | | 1 | | | + | 2.53% |
| Foundation Enterprises 237,511 27,00% 64,128 73,00% 173,383 73,00% | 4 Prototypes | | 236.784 | 27 00% | 63.932 | | .1 | | | 73.00% | 172 853 | | | | | | 1. | | 2 5.7% |
| Table Tabl | | Foleroises | 237 511 | 27 00% | R4 128 | | | | 1_ | 73.00% | 173 383 | , | | | _i_ | | | | 1 2 200 |
| Total Indirect SED INDIRECT 22,211 SED INDIRECT 22,211 SED INDIRECT | | Luci prices | 0,0,00 | 2000 | - 0 | | | | 1 | 2000 | 200,000 | | 1 | | | T | | | K. 33 /e |
| Total Indirect Tota | | | 381,040 | 27.00.72 | 103,044 | | | | | / 3.00% | 7/8,602 | | | | | | | | 2.53% |
| Total Indirect | | | | | | | | | | /3.00% | | | | | | | | | 2.53% |
| 1 Otal Indirect 1 Otal Indirect 1 Otal Indirect 22,211 29.53% | | - | | | | | | | | | | | 1 | | | | ! | - | 0.47% |
| OTHER INDIRECT 29.53% 6,560 70.47% 15,652 70.47% UN-REIMBURSED INDIRECT 22.211 70.47% 15,652 70.47% TOTAL STATE TOTAL STATE TO TOTAL STATE | OTHER CHARGES | I Otal III III ECI | | | | | Luman | | | | | | | | | | | 7 | 0.47% |
| UN-REMBURSED INDIRECT 22.211 | OTHER INDIRECT | | | | | | 1 | | | | | | | | | | | | 0.47% |
| | | ECT | 22,211 | | | | | 29.53% | 6,560 | | | 70.47% | 15,652 | | | | ,- | 70.47% | |
| | 2 | | | | | | | | <u> </u> | | 1 | | | | | | | 70 | 0.47% |
| | 3 | | | | | | L | | | | 1 | | | | | | l | " | 0.47% |
| | * | | | | Č eriose | | ٠ | | 1 | | | | | | | | | , | .47% |
| | 9 | | | | | | L | | 1 | | | | | | | | | | |
| | q | | | | | | | I | | T | | | Ministra | | | | | | 3.47% |
| | | | | | | | | T | | 1 | | | ATECONO | | | | | 70 | 3.47% |
| | | | | | | | | Ī | | T | | | | | | | | 70 | .47% |
| | B | | | | | | | - | | | | | | | | | | 0.7 | .47% |

Materna: J.d Child Health Branch

Materna: J. Child Health Branch

| Program: | BIH Black infant Health | ent Health | | | | UNMATCHE | UNMATCHED FUNDING | | | NON | NON - ENHANCED MATCHING (50/50) | MATCHING | (0/20) | NH NH | ENHANCED MATCHING (75/35) | 36/32/ DNIH | | | |
|--------------------------|---|-----------------------|--|--------|---|----------|------------------------|-----|------------|--------|---------------------------------|----------|------------|--|---------------------------|-------------|---------------------------|---|-----------------------|
| Agency: | County | County Of Los Angeles | | | AH-TV | | BIH.CF | | A CHARGO | | | | | | | | | | |
| Agreement No.: | 200419 | | (1) | (2) | (3) | (4) | (5) | (9) | (7) | (8) | (6) | (10) | CNTY-N | (12) | 113) | (14) | CNTY-E | 1161 | 123 |
| EXPENSE CATEGORY | CHARLES THE PARTY OF THE PARTY | | TOTAL FUNDING | * | Federal Title V | * | State General Funds | * | Local ' | | Combined Fed/State | . ¥ | Combined * | | Combined Fad/State | | Cambined: | | Ē |
| I. PERSONNEL WORKSHEET | SHEET | | Name of the last o | - | | | | | | | | | | | | * | | 1 [| |
| TOTAL PERSONNEL COSTS | | | 265,032 | | 78,824 | | | | | | 444 073 | | | A STANSACTION OF THE PARTY OF T | | | and homeoweather the same | | Commission |
| BENEFIT RATE | | | THE REAL PROPERTY AND ADDRESS OF THE PERSON NAMED AND ADDRESS | | | | | | | | 144,073 | | | | 41,335 | | | | |
| ACTUAL BENEFITS | | | 76,755 | 1 | 22,925 | ٠ | | 1 | | | 41 582 | | | | 0.00 | | | - | |
| IOTAL WAGES | | | 188,277 | - | 55,899 | | | | | | 103,290 | | | l, | 12,248 | | | *************************************** | |
| F TITLE OR CLASS. | S. FTE | ANNUAL | | | *************************************** | | | | | | | | | | | | M | MCF Per Staff | (X) Ne12 gnilavs11 |
| 1 YRJ Program Manager II | 100.00% | 980'99 | 980'99 | 24.30% | 16,059 | | | | + | 75 70% | 50.027 | | | | | | | | |
| | | 57,553 | 57,553 | 24.30% | 13,985 | | | | | 75.70% | 43.56B | | | | | | | 75.7 | × |
| 3 LW Public Health Nurse | 100.00% | 64,638 | 64,638 | 40.00% | 25,855 | | <u> </u> | | | 15.00% | 969 6 | | | 46.009/ | 1 | I | | 75.7 | × |
| 4 | | | | | | | | | ,I | | 2 | | | 43,00% | 790,67 | | | 75.7 | × |
| 2 | | | | | | | 1 | | | | | | | | | | | \dagger | T |
| 9 | | | | | <u> </u> | | т | | 1 | | _1 | | | | | | | \dagger | T |
| 7 | | | | | L | | <u>.l.</u> | | | | | | | | | | | 1 | T |
| 80 | | | | | 1 | | | | | | _1 | | | | | I | | 1 | Ī |
| Co | | | | | 1 | | | | <u>.L.</u> | | 1 | | | | | | | + | T |
| 10 | | | | | 1 | | <u> </u> | | | | | | | | | T | | + | T |
| = | | | | | | | 1 | | | | | | | | | | | $\frac{1}{1}$ | T |
| 12 | | | | | 1 | | 1 | | | | 1 | | | | | | | \dashv | T |
| 13 | | | | Ī | | | | | | | | | | | | T | | 1 | T |
| = | | | | | 1 | | _L | T | | | _1_ | | | | | T | | + | T |
| 15 | | | | | 1 | | 1 | | 1 | | <u>.l.</u> | | 1. | | | | | | T |
| 91 | | | | | 1 | | <u></u> | | 1 | | | | | | | T | | + | T |
| 17 | | | | | <u> </u> | | 1 | | 1 | | _l | | | | | T | | + | T |
| 18 | | | | | <u>I</u> | | | | 1 | | | | 1 | | | | | + | T |
| 18 | | | | | <u> </u> | | | | <u> </u> | | <u> </u> | | | | | | 1_ | + | Ŧ |
| 20 | | | - | | <u> </u> | | 1 | | <u> </u> | | 1 | | 1 | | <u></u> | | | + | T |
| 21 | | | | | | | | | 1 | | <u> </u> | Ī | 1 | | 1 | | | 1 | T |
| 22 | | | | | L | | | | <u></u> | | <u></u> | | 1 | | 1_ | T | <u></u> | - | Τ |
| 23 | | | | | | | <u> </u> | | | | <u> </u> | | 1 | | 1 | | | | T |
| 24 | | | | | | | <u> </u> | | | | <u> </u> | | J | | <u></u> | | <u> </u> | - | T |
| 25 | | | | | | | <u> </u> | | | | 1 | | 1 | | <u> </u> | | 1 | - | T |
| 26 | | | | | | | <u> </u> | | <u></u> | | 1 | | | | <u></u> | T | <u> </u> | - | T |
| 13 | | | | | | | L | | <u></u> | | <u></u> | | 1 | | | | _ | $\frac{1}{1}$ | T |
| 28 | | | | | | | | | | | 1 | | 1 | | | | | + | T |
| 29 | | | | | | | | | | | <u> </u> | | 1 | | <u> </u> | Ī | <u> </u> | + | T |
| 30 | | | | | | | | , | | | | | | | <u></u> | Τ | | + | T |
| 31 | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | L | Ţ |
| 32 | | | - | | | | l | j | | | | | - | | | | | | Τ |
| | | | | | | | | | | | l | | Mossess | |] |] | | | ٦ |

BUDGET JUSTIFICATION OPERATING EXPENSES

Program: Black Infant Health
Agency County Of Los Angeles
Agreement No.: 200419

| | Amount Budgeted | Enter the rates for each item below* | |
|---|---|--|-----------------------|
| Travel Expenses | | Agency mileage rate per mile: | 0.33 |
| | 4,080 | Agency per diem rate: | 55.00 |
| | | Agency maximum lodging rate: | 165.50 |
| | | *Agency responsible for reimbursement of costs above allowable State rates | The State of State of |
| | | Explain types of training | |
| Training Expenses | 1,500 | Registration fees for BIH Program staff to attend BIH required and related trainings or work | kshops. |
| Other Operating Expenses Discription | "X" this column if the Operating Expense line is Amount "Unmatched" | Explain types of expenses per line item | |
| _ | 4 500 | Expenses necessary for staff to carry out the daily activities to accomplish BIH goals and c | bjectives. |

| Expenses Discription | Amount | **Unmatched | Explain types of expenses per line item |
|---|--------|-------------|---|
| General Expenses | 1,500 | | Expenses necessary for staff to carry out the daily activities to accomplish BIH goals and objectives. Expenses include: office supplies, postage/messenger service, room rental, communication costs, etc. |
| Program Redirectives | 2,937 | х | Program redirectives to promote the Los Angeles County BIH Program. |
| Space Cost | 12,000 | | Space rental at MCAH Headquarters, 600 S. Commonwealth, location. Expenses include: rent, ground building maintenance, custodial services, storage and parking. |
| Travel Expenses exceeding allowable State rates | 420 | | Per diem and lodging costs above State allowable rates. |
| | | | |
| | | | |
| | | | |
| | | | |
| | | | |
| | | | |
| | | | |
| | | | |
| • | | | |
| | | | · |
| | | | |
| | | | |

[&]quot; Unmatched Operating Expenses are not eligible for Federal matching funds (Title XIX). If there are any questions regarding which expenses may not be eligible for Federal matching funds please contact the appropriate MCH Program Consultant.

Agency: Los Angeles County , Fiscal year (FY): 2004-2005

Agreement Number: 200419

MATERNAL AND CHILD HEALTH (MCH) SCOPE OF WORK

The Agency must work toward achieving the following goals and accomplish the following objectives. This will be done by performing the specified activities and evaluating the results using the listed methods focusing on process and/or outcome.

Goal 1: All children born healthy to healthy mothers.

Goal 2: No health status disparities among racial/ethnic, gender, economic and

regional groups.

Goal 3: A safe and healthy environment for women, children and their families.

Goal 4: Equal access for all women, children and their families to appropriate and

needed care within an integrated and seamless system.

Timelines: All of the implementation activities identified in this Scope of Work are to be conducted within the term of this Agreement's Fiscal year.

Objective 1

The Agency will operate an MCH Program under the direction of an approved MCH Director in accordance with the State MCH Branch Policies and Procedures.

Implementation Activities

- 1.1 The Agency, under the direction of the MCH Director, will:
 - develop policies and standards, and conduct activities that improve health outcomes for the MCH population;
 - develop Agency and/or community infrastructures that provide family-centered, culturally-competent services;
 - use core public health functions to assure that progress is made toward the four MCH goals and fourteen objectives.

Evaluation Process or Outcomes-

The MCH Director will meet professional qualifications and time commitment specified in the MCH Policies and Procedures and submit verification of requirement compliance to the MCH Branch for approval. Activities performed under this objective shall be documented in writing as part of the Annual Report.

- 1.2 The MCH Director will have responsibility for implementation of the following MCH programs (where applicable):
 - Adolescent Family Life Program
 - Black Infant Health Program
 - Comprehensive Perinatal Services Program
 - Fetal/Infant Mortality Review Program

Evaluation Process or Outcomes-

Maintain documentation of activities on file.

Summarize activities and describe outcomes/impact in the Mid-Year and Annual Reports in accordance with the current fiscal year Policies and Procedures.

Fiscal year (FY): 2004-2005

Agency: Los Angeles County Agreement Number: 200419

MATERNAL AND CHILD HEALTH (MCH) SCOPE OF WORK

Objective 2

Under the direction of the MCH Director, the Agency must provide a coordinated local effort to improve outreach and case finding activities for pregnant women and children including care coordination activities stressing early and continuous perinatal infant, and child care.

Under the direction of the MCH Director, the Agency must provide a coordinated local effort for outreach and case finding activities for low income, high-risk women of childbearing age, pregnant women, and children. This coordinated local effort includes stressing the importance of early and continuous perinatal, infant and pediatric healthcare. Activities performed under this objective must be consistent with those specified in Health and Safety Code Sections 104560-104569 (see appendix).

Implementation Activities

- The agency must perform comprehensive outreach activities to the MCH population, including referrals to the Health Families Program, Medi-Cal and Access for Infants and Mothers (AIM). Outreach, case finding and care coordination activities shall be targeted to high-risk populations as identified in the jurisdiction's five-year MCH plan. Priority is given to the following populations:
 - Low income pregnant women
 - · Women, children and adolescents who are not linked to a source of care
 - Women of childbearing age who are at risk for adverse perinatal outcomes, including but not limited to, tobacco exposure and substance abuse.
- 2.1a The Agency must maintain the following:
 - Title V toll-free telephone information service;
 - Prenatal Care Guidance (unless the jurisdiction does not participate in this program);
 - Referrals for healthcare coverage to Medi-Cal, AlM and the Healthy Families Program;
 - Education on the importance of early and continuous prenatal and well child care;
 - Assessment of tobacco and other substance use (including secondhand tobacco smoke exposure) and referral to treatment programs as appropriate.
- 2.1b The Agency shall promote community wide collaboration in the development and implementation of outreach programs, as well as work to assure that services are provided in a culturally sensitive manner and avoid duplication of services.
- 2.1c Develop protocols and evaluation methods to measure success of activities as they relate to the State's MCH priorities and Agency's multi-year plan.

Evaluation Process or Outcomes-

Maintain documentation of activities on file, as specified by MCH Branch. Summarize activities; describe measures of success and outcomes/impact in the Mid-Year and Annual Reports in accordance with current fiscal year Policies and Procedures.

Agency: Los Angeles County Fiscal year (FY): 2004-2005

Agreement Number: 200419

MATERNAL AND CHILD HEALTH (MCH) SCOPE OF WORK

Objective 3

The Agency must provide skilled professional expertise, appropriate to the population needs of the jurisdiction, in identifying, coordinating, and expanding health and human services for pregnant women and children through collaborative planning, development, and assurance of quality perinatal services.

Implementation Activities

3.1 The Agency must have an approved Perinatal Services Coordinator who meets the professional qualifications and time commitment specified in the MCH Policies and Procedures.

Evaluation Process or Outcomes-

Maintain documentation of activities on file.

Summarize activities and describe outcomes/impact in the Mid-Year and Annual Reports in accordance with current fiscal year Policies and Procedures.

3.2 Perinatal Services Coordinators must carry out the responsibilities and activities detailed in the MCH Policies and Procedures.

Evaluation Process or Outcomes-

Maintain documentation of activities on file.

Summarize activities and describe outcomes/impact in the Mid-Year and Annual Reports in accordance with current fiscal year Policies and Procedures.

Objective 4

Address priority unmet needs identified in the local Community Health Assessment and MCH Plan; continue to monitor MCH needs and make modifications to the local Plan in order to achieve the desired outcome of improved maternal, child, and adolescent health

Implementation Activities 2004-2005

- 4.1 Develop a 5-year plan for the Los Angeles County Maternal, Child and Adolescent Health (MCAH) Programs in accordance with State guidelines for 2005-2009.
- Convene workgroups comprised of community stakeholders, representatives from other County programs, and MCAH staff to develop recommended strategies to address the four prioritized needs identified in the community needs assessment;
- Conduct focus groups with clients and potential clients to solicit feedback on current MCAH programs and input on strategies to meet the prioritized needs;
- Develop the Action Plan (Part 2) including a description of strategies to be implemented and evaluation methodology and submit to the State by June 30, 2005.

- Agendas, sign-in sheets and meeting notes from workgroup meetings;
- Report synthesizing focus group results;

Agency: Los Angeles County Fiscal year (FY): 2004-2005
Agreement Number: 200419

MATERNAL AND CHILD HEALTH (MCH) SCOPE OF WORK

- Completed 5-year plan Action Plan (Part 2).
- 4.2 Strengthen the MCAH Programs' infrastructure.
- Develop core policies and procedures;
- Develop an orientation package for new MCAH staff;
- Establish a MCAH Intranet to enhance communication among MCAH staff;
- Develop a process for on-going, long range planning and evaluation for MCAH Programs;
- Hold quarterly general staff meetings to disseminate information, provide training and involve staff at all levels in MCAH issues.

Evaluation Process or Outcomes:

- MCAH Policies and Procedures Manual;
- Orientation Package for new staff;
- Percent of staff having access to intranet;
- Percent of staff who report they have become better informed of MCAH activities by using the MCAH intranet, and participating in quarterly staff meetings;
- Summary of evaluation forms from quarterly staff meetings;
- Annual strategic planning activities are documented and maintained on file.
- 4.3 Participate in collaborative activities with external partners to achieve MCAH's mission.
- Participate in the Los Angeles Best Babies Collaborative, to create and implement a blueprint for improving birth outcomes in Los Angeles County;
- Participate as a co-convener and workgroup chair in the Children's Health Initiative of Greater Los Angeles, a coalition whose mission is to achieve universal health coverage for all children in Los Angeles County;
- Participate in the LA Care Children's Health Consultant Advisory Council, in the Perinatal Services Coordinator and Health Program Administrator Seats;
- Engage in County and DHS collaboratives such as the Interagency Operations Group, New Directions Task Force, the Children's Planning Council, and the DHS Health Leadership Board, to promote MCAH goals and to ensure that MCAH's work is aligned and integrated with County and DHS priority areas;
- Ensure that MCAH is engaged with First 5 LA's projects and planning process, to provide
 the input and expertise necessary to ensure that First 5 LA's initiatives achieve the desired
 positive outcomes;

- Maintain documentation of activities and meetings on file;
- MCAH participation with partners to address mutual goals and develop joint projects will be summarized in the Mid-Year and Annual Reports.
- 4.4 Collaborate with Los Angeles based professionals and agencies serving youth to create an Adolescent Health Collaborative within Los Angeles County
- Meet with representatives from Los Angeles participating in the Adolescent Health Collaborative (AHC).
- Conduct meetings with collaborative members to explore interest in establishing an adolescent health collaborative.

Agency: Los Angeles County Fiscal year (FY): 2004-2005

Agreement Number: 200419

MATERNAL AND CHILD HEALTH (MCH) SCOPE OF WORK

- Invite key partners to join the steering committee of the collaborative
- Establish primary goals and objectives for the Steering Committee
- Create a mailing list of professionals and agencies that serve youth.
- Identify resources for meeting locations.
- Send invitations to potential partners identified on the mailing list to join the collaborative.
- Develop packages and agendas for meetings.
- Conduct initial meeting with Adolescent Health Collaborative

Evaluation Process or Outcomes

- Maintain minutes and attendance of meetings.
- Adolescent Health Collaborative developed
- 4.5 Prepare for potential bioterrorism or other emergency event affecting MCAH vulnerable populations.
- Participate in the Public Health Incident Command System, and other emergency preparedness meetings, trainings, and exercises;
- At various emergency preparedness meetings/trainings advocate for the need to address the MCAH vulnerable populations;
- In collaboration with the Office of Bioterrorism delineate specific needs for vulnerable MCAH populations in order to sufficiently prepare for an emergency event;
- Share outreach strategies and resources to assist the Office of Bioterrorism in communicating key messages to MCAH vulnerable populations;
- Share emergency preparedness information and training opportunities with MCAH staff.

- Meeting notes and list of MCAH staff participating in meetings and trainings;
- Maintain documentation of activities and meetings on file.
- 4.6 Provide case management/home visitation services to high-risk pregnant adolescents and women throughout the County.
- Continue the Prenatal Care Guidance program (PCG) and Nurse-Family Partnership (NFP) programs;
- Search for additional funding to increase the number of families that can benefit from the program;
- Continue with the process to integrating the NFP program into other DHS perinatal home visitation programs as applicable to their specific program goals and target populations;
- Continue efforts to improve the percentages of home visitation clients who breastfeed for at least 6 months;
- Establish a formal referral mechanism with the Childhood Lead Poisoning Prevention Program (CLPPP);
- Investigate how PCG and NFP can collaborate with asthma program efforts by implementing an asthma assessment tool; and
- Search for community partners to collaborate with NFP and PCG to reconvene the Home Visitation Network.

Agency: Los Angeles County . Fiscal year (FY): 2004-2005

Agreement Number: 200419

MATERNAL AND CHILD HEALTH (MCH) SCOPE OF WORK

Evaluation Process or Outcomes:

- PCG process measures reports (e.g., client enrollment, outreach activities);
- PCG outcome measures reports (e.g., attainment of prenatal care, birth outcomes, enrollment in health coverage plan);
- NFP process measures reports (e.g., client enrollment, outreach activities);
- NFP outcome measures reports (e.g. birth outcomes, subsequent pregnancies, education, workforce participation, breastfeeding);
- Evidence of additional funding secured for the NFP and PCG programs to either support current positions and program costs or expand capacity;
- Successful integration of NFP services within other MCAH programs as applicable to their client population and program goals;
- Increase in the percentage of home visitation clients who are breastfeeding for at least 6 months;
- Documented referral mechanism with CLPPP;
- Maintain documentation of activities and meetings on file.
- 4.7 Increase the percentage of women who are continuing to breastfeed their babies through 6 months and 12 months of age.
- Participate in the Breastfeeding Task Force of Greater Los Angeles and assist in printing the Breastfeeding Resource Directory 2005;
- Provide monthly breastfeeding training sessions for CPSP providers:
- Develop enhanced breastfeeding promotion components for the PCG and NFP protocols and guidelines;
- Analyze breastfeeding rates for NFP and PCG clients and continue to implement quality improvement activities in an effort to increase the rates;
- Develop and implement program aimed at helping the four County birthing hospitals become certified as Baby-Friendly, and search for additional funding to support the start-up costs related initiate this project;

- Maintain documentation of activities and meetings on file;
- Schedule of monthly CPSP breastfeeding training sessions and breastfeeding lesson plans;
- PCG breastfeeding protocols and guidelines;
- NFP breastfeeding lesson plan and materials;
- Reports of breastfeeding rates for NFP and PCG clients:
- Evidence of funding to support start-up costs of Baby-Friendly efforts.
- 4.8 Promote early identification of developmental delay through use of high quality screening tools in pediatric practices and community-based sites.
- Participate and serve on steering committee for Early Identification and Intervention Group, whose goal is that every child in Los Angeles County receive developmental screening early, often, and with a high-quality screening tool, and that any child needing help receives it at the earliest possible moment;
- · Co-chair conferences and education sessions on promoting the use of screening tools;
- Advise First 5 LA on two related projects their Healthy Kids Quality Enhancement initiative, which incorporates developmental services into pediatric practices and surrounding community agencies, and their Prenatal to 3 focus area which is still in development;

Agency: Los Angeles County Fiscal year (FY): 2004-2005

Agreement Number: 200419

MATERNAL AND CHILD HEALTH (MCH) SCOPE OF WORK

 Work with DHS programs such as Lead Poisoning Prevention Program, perinatal home visitation programs, and Child Health and Disability Prevention (CHDP) program to incorporate the use of high quality developmental screening tools to provide support for those programs already using such tools;

Evaluation Process or Outcomes:

- Development and/or implementation of new developmental screening protocols, as documented in Early Identification and Intervention Group minutes
- Increased knowledge about developmental screening or use of screening tools as measured by conference or educational session evaluation forms.
- Developmental screening incorporated into First 5 LA projects, as measured by project descriptions and scopes of work
- Protocols for using screening tools in DHS programs.
- 4.9 Reduce incidence and severity of asthmatic attacks in county children.
- In collaboration with St. John's Well Child and Family Center, convene and facilitate quarterly meetings of the coalition of asthma providers throughout the County to share information and develop joint projects;
- Invite key speakers to insure that coalition members are informed about current data, planning efforts, and "best practices" in asthma programs and treatment;
- Collaborate with coalition members on the implementation of selected MCAH strategies and activities to reduce asthma;
- Maintain the asthma "Fresh Air" media campaign materials, serve as the point of contact for agencies wishing to use the materials, and work with key asthma agencies to develop an implementation plan for rolling out the asthma media campaign in a selected target area;

Evaluation Process or Outcomes:

- Agenda and minutes from quarterly meetings;
- Action plan for implementation of strategies to reduce asthma;
- Media campaign materials used by agencies.
- 4.10 Implement recommendations from the Los Angeles County Blue Ribbon Task Force on Children and Youth Physical Fitness to reduce and prevent overweight and obesity in children and adolescents.
- Provide staff support to the Los Angeles County Physical Activity and Nutrition Task Force;
- Lead efforts to educate key decision makers regarding policies that improve nutritional status and encourage physical activity for children and adults;
- Secure funding to support policies, programs and public awareness campaigns to create an
 environment in Los Angeles County that encourages physical activity and healthy eating.

- Maintain documentation of activities and meetings on file;
 - Grant applications submitted and partnerships formed to support activities.

Agency: Los Angeles County Fiscal year (FY): 2004-2005
Agreement Number: 200419

MATERNAL AND CHILD HEALTH (MCH) SCOPE OF WORK

4.11 Investigate potential role for MCAH in working with agencies that focus on intimate partner violence issues.

- Participate in the Department of Health Services/Intimate and Spousal Injury Task Force.
 This task force will recommend prevention programs and support policies to reduce
 morbidity and mortality associated with intimate partner violence. It will monitor the
 occurrence of intentional and unintentional injuries among partners and screen for potential
 intimate partner and spousal abuse in the Los Angeles county.
- Evaluate potential roles for MCAH through strategic planning meetings and conversations with agencies;
- Develop action plan for further activities.

Evaluation Process or Outcomes

- Maintain documentation of activities and meetings on file;
- Action plan for future activities
- 4.12 Develop and pilot a family friendly employee wellness program with an emphasis on reduction of risks that affect families and women of childbearing age to serve as a model for all employers in Los Angeles County.
- Evaluate existing employee wellness programs and identify one which fosters healthy
 lifestyle changes, especially for women in the preconception and inter-conception stages of
 life and their infants and children;
- Identify sources of no-cost incentives for employees who participate;
- Implement the wellness program within MCAH Programs;
- Distribute a "Welcome Baby Packet" to all employees requesting maternity/paternity leave. This package will consist of a diaper bag filled with breastfeeding promotion materials as well as information on other infant health issues;
- Work with DHS Human Resources to incorporate information on the Welcome Baby Package into the New Employee Orientation curriculum;
- Develop and implement a separate orientation session for employees requesting
 maternity/paternity leave to educate them on how to best use their paid and unpaid leave to
 allow them to properly bond with their infant and establish a good breastfeeding routine;
- Position Los Angeles County Department of Health Services as a model employer by promoting creation of more lactation facilities in DHS PH buildings, emphasizing the requirements of AB 1025;
- Develop cost analysis of the benefit of implementing family/baby friendly employer practices and a dissemination strategy to share information with other employers in the Los Angeles County area.

- Completion of wellness program monthly self-reporting form;
- Completion of wellness program pre- and post-program survey;
- Documentation regarding the distribution of the Welcome Baby Packages;
- Documentation on employees attending the Maternity/Paternity Leave orientation sessions;
- List of lactation facilities available to DHS employees:
- List of employees who received a Welcome Baby Package;
- New Employee Orientation agenda and packet including information on AB 1025, lactation facilities, Welcome Baby Package, and orientation session on use of paid/unpaid leave;

Agency: Los Angeles County Fiscal year (FY): 2004-2005
Agreement Number: 200419

MATERNAL AND CHILD HEALTH (MCH) SCOPE OF WORK

- Cost benefit analysis for implementing family/baby friendly employer practices.
- 4.13 Conduct the Los Angeles Mommy and Baby (LAMB) Study to develop data on prenatal risk assessment for Los Angeles County
 - Submit grant proposal to fund LAMB study;
 - Develop community advisory board to provide oversight for the LAMB Study;
 - Develop a draft survey instrument based on issues identified from literature review;
 - Collaborate with community stakeholder, MCAH staff and focus group of new mothers to review and finalize survey instrument;
 - Develop study protocol, codebook, and database;
 - Submit all necessary documentation as required for Internal Review Board (IRB) approval.

Evaluation Process or Outcomes

- Project funding secured;
- Maintain documentation of activities and meetings on file;
- Project protocol, codebook, and survey instrument finalized;
- Approval from Internal Review Board (IRB) obtained;
- Project staff hired for Countywide implementation of LAMB study.
- 4.14 Generate performance measures for each MCAH program and to generate reports on program effectiveness.
- Convene Best Practices Data Committee (BPDC) to review, modify and update program performance measures;
- Assess and evaluate current data collection procedures for performance measures and recommend improvements as appropriate;
- Generate performance measures report;
- Collaborate with MCAH Program staff to identify service areas in need of improvement and develop course of action to improve services based on a review of the performance measures.

Evaluation Process or Outcomes:

- Percent of performance measures with established data collection processes, and data being collected;
- Report on MCAH performance measures.
- 4.15 Objectives for Fiscal Year 2005-2006 and 2006-2007 will be submitted yearly with the Application/revision package prior to the beginning of each fiscal year.

Evaluation Process or Outcomes:

AFA/revision package submitted prior to June 30 for the following fiscal year

Agency: County of Los Angeles Allocation Number: 200419

FETAL INFANT MORTALITY REVIEW (FIMR) SCOPE OF WORK

The Agency must work toward achieving the following goals and accomplish the following objectives. This will be done by performing the specified activities and evaluating the results using the listed methods focusing on process and/or outcome.

Goal 1: Examine local contributing factors to fetal, neonatal, and postneonatal deaths, and develop and implement interventions responding to identified needs.

Timelines: All of the implementation activities identified in this Scope of Work are to be conducted within the term of this allocation's Fiscal year.

Objective 1

Conduct a community-based FIMR Program based on MCH Branch guidelines and City Match Perinatal Periods of Risk (PPOR) to:

- examine contributing factors to fetal, neonatal, and post-neonatal deaths;
- · develop recommendations to respond to identified needs; and
- implement 3 interventions involving policy, systems, and community norm changes that will lead to the prevention of similar occurrences. (The number of interventions implemented is subject to MCH Branch approval.)

Implementation Activities

1.1 Obtain current and ongoing local approval to conduct the FIMR program reviews and PPOR.

- 1.1 Submit local Health Officer authority letter with Annual Progress Report.
- 1.2 Develop PPOR Action Plan
- 1.2 Develop policies and procedures, establish, facilitate, and maintain a case review and community action team, to review selected cases, identify factors contributing to fetal and infant deaths and recommend and implement changes that are designed to prevent further occurrence.
 - 1.2.1 Utilizing CityMatCH Perinatal Periods of Risk (PPOR) as a method for analyzing fetal infant mortality data we will:
 - Engage community partners to develop community support, gain consensus about problems, and develop strategies for solutions.
 - Map fetal and infant mortality by birthweight and age.
 - Focus on reducing the overall fetal-infant mortality rate.
 - Examine disparities between population groups.
 - Target investigations and prevention efforts to minimize gaps and improve overall infant mortality rates.
 - Develop specific FIMR protocols

Agency: County of Los Angeles Allocation Number: 200419

FETAL INFANT MORTALITY REVIEW (FIMR) SCOPE OF WORK

Evaluation Process or Outcomes-

- PPOR analysis will include four strategic prevention areas: maternal health/prematurity, maternal care, newborn care, and infant health.
- Fetal-infant mortality will be mapped by birthweight and age at death
- PPOR analysis will be conducted for each SPA and race/ethnicity
- 1.3 Complete the data collection; parental interview, when possible; review process; and analysis on up to 52 appropriate cases identifying medical and non-medical factors. (Case number must be appropriately proportional to the total number of fetal/infant deaths and is subject to MCH Branch approval.)

Evaluation Process or Outcomes-

- A revised FIMR case review instrument will be submitted to the branch for approval prior to implementation and use;
- Submit copies of case reviews along with a floppy disk containing all information to the MCH Branch FIMR Coordinator when submitting Mid-Year and Annual Progress Reports.
- Develop summary report of findings and recommendations that address the identified contributing factors leading to fetal/infant deaths; disseminate findings to community action team, local policymakers, the community at large, BIH, SIDS, and other local MCH programs through published reports, press releases, and presentations to increase public awareness of recurring factors causing fetal/infant deaths.

Evaluation Process or Outcomes-

- 1.4 In the Mid-Year and Annual Progress Reports, describe key activities and accomplishments. Submit the summary report with the Annual Progress Report. Documentation of disseminated findings to be kept on file.
- 1.5 Based on case findings, with community input, develop and implement objectives, interventions, timelines and evaluation components for identified recommendations which address systems, community norm and public policy changes.

Evaluation Process or Outcomes-

In the Mid-Year and Annual Progress Reports, describe key activities and accomplishments. Submit the objectives, interventions and evaluation plan for the identified recommendations with the Annual Progress Report. Describe how community input was obtained and incorporated.

Agency: County of Los Angeles Fiscal Year (FY): 2004-2005

Agreement Number: 200419

BLACK INFANT HEALTH PROGRAM (BIH) SCOPE OF WORK

The Agency must work toward achieving the following goals and accomplish the following objectives. This will be done by performing the specified activities and evaluating the results using the listed methods focusing on process and/or outcome.

- Goal 1: To reduce African-American infant mortality through a comprehensive community-based effort by assuring that at-risk pregnant and parenting women and their infants and children up to age two have access to quality maternal and child health services.
- Goal 2: To increase the number of African-American women obtaining prenatal care in the first trimester.
- Goal 3: To reduce the number of African-American infants born with birth weights below 2,500 grams.
- Goal 4: Reduce the number of African-American women who smoke, use alcohol, and/or nonprescription drugs during pregnancy.
- Goal 5: Reduce the number of African-American babies who die due to SIDS.
- Goal 6: To reduce African-American maternal mortality.
- Timelines: All of the implementation activities identified in this Scope of Work are to be conducted within the term of this allocation's Fiscal year.

Objective 1

Conduct a community-based BIH Program in the local jurisdiction that supports, facilitates, and promotes better health care services for at-risk African-American women, children up to age of two years, and their families.

Implementation Activities

- 1.1 Maintain a culturally-competent Coordinator to oversee and administer the program and carry out the responsibilities and activities detailed in the MCH Policies and Procedures.
 - Yolonda Rogers-Jones is the County BIH Coordinator and she has held this position since November 2001.
- 1.2 Provide and/or assure culturally competent outreach in the African-American community targeting pregnant and parenting women at risk for poor birth outcomes. Identify by zip codes/census tract areas for outreach concentration. Outreach should follow the outreach intervention model made available by the MCH Branch.
 - Los Angeles County released a countywide Request for Proposal (RFP) during March 2004 and contracts were awarded to the following six (6) community-based organizations (CBOs):

Agency: County of Los Angeles . Fiscal Year (FY): 2004-2005

Agreement Number: 200419

The Children's Collective - South Central Los Angeles: 90008, 90037, 90043, 90044, 90047, 90061, 90062

- Public Health Foundation Enterprises / First Missionary Baptist Church of Antelope Valley - Antelope Valley: 93534, 93535, 93543, 93550, 93551, 93552, 93591
- Great Beginnings for Black Babies South Central Los Angeles: 90001, 90002, 90003, 90007, 90011, 90016, 90018, 90004, 90006, 90013, 90015, 90017, 90019, 90026, 90027, 90031, 90033, 90036, 90038, 90039, 90065, 90068, 90069
- HarborUCLA REI South Los Angeles Health Projects 90059, 90220, 90221, 90222, 90262, 90247, 90250, 90260, 90301, 90302, 90303, 90304, 90717, 90731, 90732
- Mission City Community Network San Fernando Valley: 91042, 91304, 91321, 91325, 91330, 91331, 91340, 91350, 91351, 91352, 91355, 91356, 91364, 91403, 91423, 91606
- Prototypes San Gabriel Valley: 91007, 91016, 91030, 91706, 91722, 91723, 91744, 91745, 91748, 91765, 91766, 91767, 91768, 91773, 91791, 91801, 91803
- 1.3 Provide and/or assure access to appropriate perinatal services and continuous care coordination including follow-up services to assure timely acquisition of postpartum, well-baby care and immunizations, and other essential services for the well being of infants, children from birth through 24 months, and their mother.
 - All CBOs accepting BIH funding will establish and maintain collaborative relationships with health care service providers in order to connect clients with needed services and resources.

- 1.1-1.3 In the Mid-Year Progress and Annual Reports, describe key activities and accomplishments toward implementing and maintaining the core elements and specific BIH Program Coordinator responsibilities.
- 1.4 Identify by intervention the number/range of African-American clients proposed to serve through the BIH interventions during the current fiscal year.
 - Los Angeles County BIH Programs will conduct community and client education with a minimum of 4,262 community residents, and will serve a minimum of 1,027 clients in PCO, a minimum of 243 clients in SSE, and a minimum of 25 clients who are first time mothers will be case managed by the BIH Public Health Nurse.

Agreement Number: 200419

Evaluation Process or Outcomes-

1.4 Summarize clients served in the Mid-Year Progress Report including more details in the Annual Report.

- 1.5 Identify/add additional local objectives and activities aimed at achieving the goals of the BIH Program. Such objectives and activities must clearly expand and amplify community-based efforts aimed at improving the health and well being of African-American women, infants, children, and their families. Local objectives may include but not be limited to implementing the prematurity prevention guidelines developed by Kern County.
 - All CBOs will implement the Kicks Count Education Program. The intervention is
 designed for community health outreach workers (CHOWs) to educate pregnant
 clients on the importance of fetal movement monitoring, and to establish a
 partnership between the client, the client's provider, and the BIH Program during the
 last trimester of pregnancy.
 - CBOs will utilize Perinatal Periods of Risk (PPOR) to identify at least one area for intervention to reduce fetal and infant mortality among African Americans.

Evaluation Process or Outcomes-

- 1.5 Document key activities and accomplishments toward implementing local objectives and activities in the Mid-Year Progress and Annual Reports.
- 1.6 Coordinate and collaborate with all relevant service programs and with community groups to increase the availability and accessibility of appropriate services and to improve community awareness regarding problems associated with infant mortality in the African-American community.
 - All CBOs accepting BIH funding will form alliances with applicable service programs (WIC, Crystal Stairs, African American Breastfeeding Alliance, etc.) in order to establish referral relationships and to inform the community at-large about infant mortality.

Evaluation Process or Outcomes-

- 1.6 Document key activities and accomplishments toward coordination and collaboration efforts in the Mid-Year Progress and Annual Reports.
- 1.7 Utilization of the BIH Data Collection System (MIS) each month to ensure that BIH data is input, updated, and maintained for monthly electronic uploading.
 - At each Contractor site, a computer will be designated for use by the BIH Program in order to enter and update client data on a routine basis, and to ensure monthly electronic uploads are successfully completed.

Agreement Number: 200419

• Additionally, Los Angeles County will establish remote access capability with the six (6) local Contractors in order to perform routine BIH data analysis.

Evaluation Process or Outcomes-

1.7 Each BIH health jurisdiction must maintain local data files to be uploaded to the MCH Branch on a monthly basis. Files will be uploaded no later than the tenth day of the following month.

Objective 2

Administer culturally competent community outreach and awareness to inform and educate African-American women who are at risk for poor birth outcomes and the community on the importance for early access and maintenance of prenatal care.

Implementation Activities

- 2.1 Conduct culturally competent community outreach to identify and enroll pregnant African-American women who are not receiving care into appropriate health and other supportive services during the first trimester of pregnancy.
 - In collaboration with the Community Advisory Board, each Contractor will develop a public awareness campaign to target African American women who are in their first trimester of pregnancy and who are not receiving prenatal care.
- 2.2 Implement activities to educate and increase awareness in the community on the status of infant morbidity and mortality and local efforts to eliminate African-American infant mortality.
 - In collaboration with the Community Advisory Board, the Contractor will conduct at least two (2) of the following activities in their service area: health fair; PSA; community meeting/event; community education campaign.
- 2.3 Conduct 2 (minimally) Celebrate Healthy Baby Events annually.
 - Los Angeles County will conduct a minimum of two (2) events.
- 2.4 Maintain a viable local BIH Community Advisory Board
 - All CBOs accepting BIH funding will establish and maintain a Community Advisory Board to help increase community awareness, and to provide input on program activities and services.

Evaluation Process or Outcomes-

2.1-2.4 Document key outreach activities and accomplishments toward increasing first trimester enrollment. Identify community awareness activities and events in the Mid-Year and Annual Reports.

Agreement Number: 200419

Objective 3

Develop and implement educational strategies that assist pregnant African-American women to understand the causes of low birth weight.

Implementation Activities

- 3.1 Educate pregnant African-American women on the causes of low birth weight including smoking, substance abuse, and prematurity. Existing educational resources, "What African-American Women Should Know About Preterm Labor" and "How Will I Know If I'm In Preterm Labor", and the Prematurity Prevention Guidelines may be used as educational aides. Also reference "Steps to Take" Kick Count information as well as Los Angeles County Kick Count Media Campaign.
 - All CBOs accepting BIH funding will develop and/or use existing educational materials to educate clients of the causes of low birth weight.
- 3.2 Coordinate with local providers to request during prenatal visit that they educate and provide resource material to pregnant African-American women on the need for adequate prenatal care, healthy lifestyle choices, with emphasis on the need to recognize signs and symptoms of pre-term labor to prevent premature births.
 - The Kicks Count Education Program will provide access for BIH Programs to work with numerous perinatal providers in order to facilitate health education for BIH clients.

Evaluation Process or Outcomes-

In the Mid-Year Progress and Annual Reports document activities toward educating African-American women on causes of low birth weight, and signs and symptoms if pre-term labor. Document efforts to work with providers to partner with programs in educating African-American women regarding prenatal care an pre-term labor issues.

Objective 4

Coordinate with existing treatment services for substance and alcohol abuse and smoking cessation programs for referral of pregnant African-American women to reduce/eliminate risky behaviors during pregnancy.

Implementation Activities

- 4.1 Identify African-American pregnant women who currently use alcohol, illicit substances, and/or tobacco products for referral to appropriate treatment programs.
 - BIH staff will identify clients who engage in these risky behaviors, make appropriate referrals, and follow-up referrals to ensure clients access treatment programs.

Evaluation Process or Outcomes-

Agreement Number: 200419

In the Mid-Year Progress and Annual Reports, describe activities toward working with clients to reduce/eliminate adverse behavior (substance/alcohol use and smoking) during pregnancy.

- 4.2 Monitor client's behavior modification to identify a reduction in adverse behavior during pregnancy.
 - BIH staff will monitor the client's efforts to eliminate/reduce the behavior and provide positive reinforcement to support to the client. Staff will also distribute appropriate health education resource materials.

Evaluation Process or Outcomes-

4.2 In the Mid-Year Progress and Annual Reports document the number of women referred to treatment programs and any successes with women modifying adverse behavior.

Objective 5

In conjunction with the State's SIDS Program, educate African-American families on SIDS deaths in the African-American community and strategies that may prevent SIDS deaths.

Implementation Activities

- 5.1 Disseminate and discuss SIDS resource materials including the Back to Sleep campaign to pregnant African-American families.
 - All CBOs accepting BIH funding will discuss and disseminate SIDS resource materials.

Evaluation Process or Outcomes-

- In the Mid-Year Progress and Annual Reports, describe activities conducted to teach African-American pregnant families about SIDS and how SIDS deaths may be prevented.
- 5.2 Assess and monitor newborn sleeping patterns with mothers during follow-up visits.
 - Within two (2) weeks after the baby's birth, BIH staff will observe the infant's sleeping position and sleeping area/arrangements and provide the mother with appropriate feedback to reinforce the SIDS message.

Evaluation Process or Outcomes-

In the Mid-Year Progress and Annual Reports, describe the number of women/men and their families who received information on the Back to Sleep campaign.

ANNUAL INVENTORY - HAS1204

HIBIT A-2

Contract No.: 2003-19

Date Contract Expires: 06/7

4

NUAL INVINTORY OF STATE-FURNISHED EQUIPMENT

Previous Contract No.: 2002-19 (if applicable)

(213)639-6400 MX-0957VU-47801-OSH-B31J MX-0957VU-47801-O5H-Serial No. (If Motor Vehicle, list VIN No.) B131A MX-0957VU-47801-05H-3319 B316 MX-0857VU-47801-054-78UTC/121-704-90 **CNM7M3PBRA** MY99TG31WJ MY99UG3009 JSQB047658 JSQB047689 Date of This Report. 07/30/04 JSQF044390 JSNC052898 JSQF049651 COTC10B QTC10B 3RTC10B JQTC10B **7RR5B** SNN7V OTBXN Contact Name/Phone No.: ET3TY Date Received Angle Toyota adelgado@dhs.ca.gov 12/03 00/90 00/90 00/90 02/00 00/90 02/00 00/90 02/00 02/00)5/00 02/00 00/90)5/00 00/90 02/00 00/90 86/90 1999 1999 1999 1999 DHS Document No. DHS ASSET MGNT. USE ONLY DHS Program Address: 1615 Capitol Ave., MS 8300, Sacramento, CA 94234-7320 Base Cost Per Unit 625.00 625.00 1528.50 \$4960.72 1528.50 \$1528.50 \$1528,50 3635.04 \$1616.28 51616.28 1616.28 \$1616.28 851.65 300 S. Commonwealth Avenue Contractor's Complete Address: DHS Liaison's Telephone No. 916) 650-0340 Include manufacturer's name, model no., type, size, and/or capacity.
 If motor vehicle, list year, make, model no., type of vehicle (van, sedan, pick-up, etc.)
 If van, include passenger capacity. PIX-F5FE-UR-FE-BUNICISCO, INC. CISCO PIX 515E CHAS-URESTRICT SW 6FE lewlett Packard Office Jet Fax Machine lewlett Packard Office Jet Fax Machine 1ewlett Packard LaserJet 4050N lewlett Packard LaserJet 4050N **Jewlett Packard LaserJet 4050N** Iwelett Packard LaserJet 4050N Hewlett Packard LaserJet 4000T Dell Dell Inspiron 3800 Laptop lewlett Packard ScanJet ADG Dell OptiPlex GXM 5166 Dell OptiPlex Computer Dell OptiPlex GX110 Dell OptiPlex GX110 Jell OptiPlex GX110 Jell OptiPlex GX110 Dell Computer Dell Computer Description Jell Monitor Jell Monitor Dell Monitor Dell Monitor nty of Los Angeles - DHS Quantity IS NOT A BUDGET FORM) State ID Tag No. (If Motor Vehicle, List License No.) er Delgado rogram Liaison: rogram Name; H Branch actor's Name:

4 (9/99)

BLACK INFANT HEALTH PROGRAM SERVICES IN LOS ANGELES COUNTY AGREEMENT

AMENDMENT NO. 1

| | THIS | AMENDMENT | is ma | de and | entere | d i | nto t | his _ | *************************************** | day |
|----|---|-----------|-------|--------|--------------------|-----|-------|-------|---|------|
| of | *************************************** | | | 2005, | | | | | | |
| | by and | between | | | OF LOS fter "C | | | | | |
| | and | | | | BEGINNI fter "C | | | | BABIES, | INC. |

WHEREAS, reference is made to that certain document entitled "BLACK INFANT HEALTH PROGRAM SERVICES IN LOS ANGELES COUNTY AGREEMENT", dated June 15, 2004, and further identified as County Agreement No. H700540 (hereafter "Agreement"); and

WHEREAS, it is the intent of the parties hereto to amend

Agreement to increase the maximum obligation, add Board mandated

language and to make other hereafter described changes; and

WHEREAS, said Agreement provides that changes may be made in the form of a written amendment which is formally approved and executed by the parties.

NOW, THEREFORE, the parties hereto agree as follows:

- 1. This Amendment shall be effective on the date of approval by the Board of Supervisors.
 - 2. Agreement Paragraph 2, <u>DESCRIPTION OF SERVICES</u>, shall be

revised to read as follows:

- "2. <u>DESCRIPTION OF SERVICES</u>: Contractor shall provide the services described in Exhibits A, A-1 and B, attached hereto and incorporated herein by reference."
- 3. Subparagraphs B and C of Agreement Paragraph 3, MAXIMUM OBLIGATION OF COUNTY, shall be revised to read as follows:
 - "B. During the period commencing July 1, 2005 through June 30, 2006, the maximum obligation of County for all services provided under this Agreement shall not exceed Five Hundred Eight Thousand, Two Hundred Twelve Dollars (\$508,212). Of this amount, Three Hundred and Fifteen Thousand, One Hundred and Seventy Dollars (\$315,170) is for the period July 1, 2005 through June 30, 2006, and One Hundred and Ninety-Three Thousand and Forty-Two Dollars (\$193,042) is for the period from Board approval through June 30, 2006. This sum represents the total maximum obligation of County as shown in Schedule 2-A, attached hereto and incorporated herein by reference.
 - C. During the period commencing July 1, 2006 through June 30, 2007, the maximum obligation of County for all services provided under this Agreement shall not exceed Five Hundred Eight Thousand, Two Hundred Twelve Dollars (\$508,212). This sum represents the total maximum obligation of County as shown in Schedule 3-A, attached

hereto and incorporated herein by reference."

4. Subparagraph A of Agreement Paragraph 4, <u>FUNDING/</u>

<u>SERVICES ADJUSTMENTS AND RE-ALLOCATIONS</u>, shall be revised to read as follows:

"4. FUNDING/SERVICES ADJUSTMENTS AND RE-ALLOCATIONS:

If sufficient monies are available from Federal, State, or County funding sources, and upon Director's or his/her authorized designee(s) specific written approval, County may require additional services and pass on to Contractor an increase to the applicable County maximum obligation as payment for such services, as determined by County. For the purposes of this provision, Director's authorized designee shall be the Chief of Operations, Public Health Programs and Services. If monies are reduced by Federal, State, or County funding sources, County may also decrease the applicable County maximum obligation of each fiscal year as determined by County. funding changes will not be retroactive, but will apply to future services following the provision of written notice from Director to Contractor. If such increase or decrease does not exceed fifteen percent (15%) of the applicable County maximum obligation, Director may approve such funding changes. Director may also

approve increases or decreases up to fifty percent (50%) of the Fiscal Year 2005-06 maximum obligation to accommodate available State funding levels and to reallocate funds among existing BIH contractors as necessary to ensure continuity of services, not to exceed available State funding, upon approval by County Counsel and notification to the Board. Director shall provide prior written notice of such funding changes to Contractor and to County's Chief Administrative Officer. If the increase or decrease exceeds fifteen percent (15%) of the applicable County maximum obligation for reasons other than those specified above, approval by County's Board of Supervisors shall be required. Any such change in any County maximum obligation shall be effected by an amendment to this Agreement pursuant to the ALTERATION OF TERMS Paragraph of this Agreement."

- 5. Agreement Paragraph 6, <u>COMPENSATION</u>, shall be revised to read as follows:
 - "6. <u>COMPENSATION</u>: County agrees to compensate

 Contractor for performing services hereunder for actual

 reimbursable net cost as set forth in Schedules 1, 2-A, and

 3-A, and the BILLING AND PAYMENT Paragraph of the ADDITIONAL

 PROVISIONS, attached hereto."

6. Paragraph 46, <u>CONTRACTOR RESPONSIBILITY AND DEBARMENT</u>, of the ADDITIONAL PROVISIONS shall be replaced in its entirety as follows:

"46. CONTRACTOR RESPONSIBILITY AND DEBARMENT:

- A. A responsible Contractor is a Contractor who has demonstrated the attribute of trustworthiness, as well as quality, fitness, capacity and experience to satisfactorily perform the contract. It is County's policy to conduct business only with responsible contractors.
- B. Contractor is hereby notified that, in accordance with Chapter 2.202 of the County Code, if County acquires information concerning the performance of Contractor on this or other contracts, which indicates that Contractor is not responsible, County may, in addition to other remedies provided in the contract, debar Contractor from bidding or proposing on, or being awarded, and/or performing work on County contracts for a specified period of time, which generally will not exceed five (5) years or be permanent if warranted by the circumstances, and terminate any or all existing contracts Contractor may have with County.
 - C. County may debar Contractor if County's Board

of Supervisors finds, in its discretion, that

Contractor has done any of the following: (1) violated
a term of a contract with County or a nonprofit
corporation created by County, (2) committed an act or
omission which negatively reflects on Contractor's
quality, fitness or capacity to perform a contract with
County, any other public entity, or a nonprofit
corporation created by County, or engaged in a pattern
or practice which negatively reflects on same, (3)
committed an act or offense which indicates a lack of
business integrity or business honesty, or (4) made or
submitted a false claim against County or any other
public entity.

- D. If there is evidence that Contractor may be subject to debarment, the Department will notify Contractor in writing of the evidence which is the basis for the proposed debarment and will advise Contractor of the scheduled date for a debarment hearing before the Contractor Hearing Board.
- E. The Contractor Hearing Board will conduct a hearing where evidence on the proposed debarment is presented. Contractor and/or Contractor's representative shall be given an opportunity to submit evidence at that hearing. After the hearing, the

Contractor Hearing Board shall prepare a tentative proposed decision, which shall contain a recommendation regarding whether contractor should be debarred, and if so, the appropriate length of time of the debarment. Contractor and the Department shall be provided an opportunity to object to the tentative proposed decision prior to its presentation to the Board of Supervisors.

- F. After consideration of any objections, or if no objections are submitted, a record of the hearing, the proposed decision and any other recommendation of the Contractor Hearing Board shall be presented to the Board of Supervisors. The Board of Supervisors shall have the right at its sole discretion to modify, deny, or adopt the proposed decision and recommendation of the Hearing Board.
- G. If a Contractor has been debarred for a period longer than five (5) years, that Contractor may, after the debarment has been in effect for at least five (5) years, submit a written request for review of the debarment determination to reduce the period of debarment or terminate the debarment. County may, in its discretion, reduce the period of debarment or terminate the debarment or terminate the debarment if it finds that Contractor has

adequately demonstrated one or more of the following:

(1) elimination of the grounds for which the debarment
was imposed; (2) a bona fide change in ownership or
management; (3) material evidence discovered after
debarment was imposed; or (4) any other reason that is
in the best interests of County.

Η. The Contractor Hearing Board will consider a request for review of a debarment determination only where (1) the Contractor has been debarred for a period longer than five (5) years; (2) the debarment has been in effect for at least five (5) years; and (3) the request is in writing, states one or more of the grounds for reduction of the debarment period or termination of the debarment, and includes supporting documentation. Upon receiving an appropriate request, the Contractor Hearing Board will provide notice of the hearing on the request. At the hearing, the Contractor Hearing Board shall conduct a hearing where evidence on the proposed reduction of debarment period or termination of debarment is presented. This hearing shall be conducted and the request for review decided by the Contractor Hearing Board pursuant to the same procedures as for a debarment hearing.

The Contractor Hearing Board's proposed decision

shall contain a recommendation on the request to reduce the period of debarment or terminate the debarment.

The Contractor Hearing Board shall present its proposed decision and recommendation to the Board of Supervisors. The Board of Supervisors shall have the right to modify, deny, or adopt the proposed decision and recommendation of the Contractor Hearing Board

- I. These terms shall also apply to any subcontractors of County Contractors."
- 7. Paragraph 52, <u>CONTRACTOR'S CHARITABLE ACTIVITIES</u>

 <u>COMPLIANCE</u>, shall be added to the ADDITIONAL PROVISIONS as follows:

"52. CONTRACTOR'S CHARITABLE ACTIVITIES COMPLIANCE:

The Supervision of Trustees and Fundraisers for Charitable Purposes Act regulates entities receiving or raising charitable contributions. The "Nonprofit Integrity Act of 2004" (SB 1262, Chapter 919) increased Charitable Purposes Act requirements. By requiring Contractors to complete the certification in Exhibit C, the County seeks to ensure that all County contractors which receive or raise charitable contributions comply with California law in order to protect the County and its taxpayers. A Contractor which receives or raises charitable contributions without complying with its obligations under California law commits a material

breach subjecting it to either contract termination or debarment proceedings or both. (County Code Chapter 2.202)"

- 8. Exhibit B and Schedules 2-A and 3-A shall be added to Agreement as attached hereto and incorporated herein by reference. Schedules 2 and 3 shall be replaced by Schedules 2-A and 3-A, respectively.
- 9. Except for the changes set forth hereinabove, Agreement shall not be changed in any respect by this Amendment.

IN WITNESS WHEREOF, the Board of Supervisors of the County of Los Angeles has caused this Amendment to be subscribed by its

/

/

/

/

/

/

1

/

/

/

/

/

/

1

Director of Health Services, and Contractor has caused this

Amendment to be subscribed in its behalf by its duly authorized
officer, the day, month, and year first above written.

| COUNTY | OF LOS ANGELES |
|--------|---|
| Ву | |
| | homas L. Garthwaite, M.D. Director and Chief Medical Officer |
| GREAT | BEGINNINGS FOR BLACK BABIES, INC. |
| | Contractor |
| Ву | |
| _ | Signature |
| | |
| | Print Name |
| Title | |
| | (AFFIX CORPORATE SEAL) |

APPROVED AS TO FORM
BY THE OFFICE OF THE COUNTY COUNSEL

APPROVED AS TO CONTRACT ADMINISTRATION:

Department of Health Services

By Cara O'Neill, Chief
Contracts and Grants Division

AMDTCC2:iw:skd:10/14/05

Contract No. <u>H700539-1</u>

BLACK INFANT HEALTH PROGRAM SERVICES IN LOS ANGELES COUNTY AGREEMENT

AMENDMENT NO. 1

| | THIS | AMENDMENT | is r | nade | and | entered | into | this | | day |
|----|--------|-----------|------|-------|------|----------------------|------|------------------------|---|-----|
| of | | | | _, 20 | 005, | | | | | |
| | by and | between | | | - | DUNTY OF nereafte | | | | |
| | and | | | | | | | COLLECTIVE ntractor"). | • | |

WHEREAS, reference is made to that certain document entitled "BLACK INFANT HEALTH PROGRAM SERVICES IN LOS ANGELES COUNTY AGREEMENT", dated June 15, 2004, and further identified as County Agreement No. H700539 (hereafter "Agreement"); and

WHEREAS, it is the intent of the parties hereto to amend

Agreement to increase the maximum obligation, add Board-mandated

language and to make other hereafter described changes; and

WHEREAS, said Agreement provides that changes may be made in the form of a written amendment which is formally approved and executed by the parties.

NOW, THEREFORE, the parties hereto agree as follows:

- 1. This Amendment shall be effective on the date of approval by the Board of Supervisors.
 - 2. Agreement Paragraph 2, <u>DESCRIPTION OF SERVICES</u>, shall be

revised to read as follows:

- "2. <u>DESCRIPTION OF SERVICES</u>: Contractor shall provide the services described in Exhibits A, A-1, and B, attached hereto and incorporated herein by reference."
- 3. Subparagraphs B and C of Agreement Paragraph 3, MAXIMUM OBLIGATION OF COUNTY, shall be revised to read as follows:
 - "B. During the period of July 1, 2005 through
 June 30, 2006, the maximum obligation of County for all
 services provided under this Agreement shall not exceed Four
 Hundred Eighty-Nine Thousand, Nine Hundred and Thirty-Eight
 Dollars (\$489,938). Of this amount, Three Hundred and
 Sixty-Seven Thousand, Eight Hundred and Ten Dollars
 (\$367,810) is for the period July 1, 2005 through
 June 30, 2006, and One Hundred and Twenty-Two Thousand and
 One Hundred and Twenty-Eight Dollars (\$122,128) is for the
 period from Board approval through June 30, 2006. This sum
 represents the total maximum obligation of County as shown
 in Schedule 2-A, attached hereto and incorporated herein by
 reference.
 - C. During the period commencing July 1, 2006 through June 30, 2007, the maximum obligation of County for all services provided under this Agreement shall not exceed Four Hundred Eighty-Nine Thousand, Nine Hundred Thirty-Eight Dollars (\$489,938). This sum represents the total maximum

obligation of County as shown in Schedule 3-A, attached hereto and incorporated herein by reference."

4. Subparagraph A of Agreement Paragraph 4, <u>FUNDING/</u>

<u>SERVICES ADJUSTMENTS AND RE-ALLOCATIONS</u>, shall be revised to read as follows:

"4. FUNDING/SERVICES ADJUSTMENTS AND RE-ALLOCATIONS:

If sufficient monies are available from Federal, State, or County funding sources, and upon Director's or his/her authorized designee(s) specific written approval, County may require additional services and pass on to Contractor an increase to the applicable County maximum obligation as payment for such services, as determined by County. For the purposes of this provision, Director's authorized designee shall be the Chief of Operations, Public Health Programs and Services. If monies are reduced by Federal, State, or County funding sources, County may also decrease the applicable County maximum obligation of each fiscal year as determined by County. Such funding changes will not be retroactive, but will apply to future services following the provision of written notice from Director to Contractor. If such increase or decrease does not exceed fifteen percent (15%) of the applicable County maximum obligation, Director may

approve such funding changes. Director may also approve increases or decreases up to fifty percent (50%) of the Fiscal Year 2005-06 maximum obligation to accommodate available State funding levels and to reallocate funds among existing BIH contractors as necessary to ensure continuity of services, not to exceed available State funding, upon approval by County Counsel and notification to the Board. Director shall provide prior written notice of such funding changes to Contractor and to County's Chief Administrative Officer. If the increase or decrease exceeds fifteen percent (15%) of the applicable County maximum obligation for reasons other than those specified above, approval by County's Board of Supervisors shall be required. Any such change in any County maximum obligation shall be effected by an amendment to this Agreement pursuant to the ALTERATION OF TERMS Paragraph of this Agreement."

- 5. Agreement Paragraph 6, <u>COMPENSATION</u>, shall be revised to read as follows:
 - "6. <u>COMPENSATION</u>: County agrees to compensate

 Contractor for performing services hereunder for actual

 reimbursable net cost as set forth in Schedules 1, 2-A, and

 3-A, and the BILLING AND PAYMENT Paragraph of the ADDITIONAL

PROVISIONS, attached hereto."

6. Paragraph 46, CONTRACTOR RESPONSIBILITY AND DEBARMENT, of the ADDITIONAL PROVISIONS shall be replaced in its entirety as follows:

"46. CONTRACTOR RESPONSIBILITY AND DEBARMENT:

- A. A responsible Contractor is a Contractor who has demonstrated the attribute of trustworthiness, as well as quality, fitness, capacity and experience to satisfactorily perform the contract. It is County's policy to conduct business only with responsible contractors.
- B. Contractor is hereby notified that, in accordance with Chapter 2.202 of the County Code, if County acquires information concerning the performance of Contractor on this or other contracts, which indicates that Contractor is not responsible, County may, in addition to other remedies provided in the contract, debar Contractor from bidding or proposing on, or being awarded, and/or performing work on County contracts for a specified period of time, which generally will not exceed five (5) years or be permanent if warranted by the circumstances, and terminate any or all existing contracts Contractor may have with County.

- C. County may debar Contractor if County's

 Board of Supervisors finds, in its discretion, that

 Contractor has done any of the following: (1) violated
 a term of a contract with County or a nonprofit

 corporation created by County, (2) committed an act or
 omission which negatively reflects on Contractor's

 quality, fitness or capacity to perform a contract with

 County, any other public entity, or a nonprofit

 corporation created by County, or engaged in a pattern
 or practice which negatively reflects on same, (3)

 committed an act or offense which indicates a lack of
 business integrity or business honesty, or (4) made or
 submitted a false claim against County or any other
 public entity.
- D. If there is evidence that Contractor may be subject to debarment, the Department will notify Contractor in writing of the evidence which is the basis for the proposed debarment and will advise Contractor of the scheduled date for a debarment hearing before the Contractor Hearing Board.
- E. The Contractor Hearing Board will conduct a hearing where evidence on the proposed debarment is presented. Contractor and/or Contractor's representative shall be given an opportunity to submit

evidence at that hearing. After the hearing, the

Contractor Hearing Board shall prepare a tentative

proposed decision, which shall contain a recommendation

regarding whether contractor should be debarred, and if

so, the appropriate length of time of the debarment.

Contractor and the Department shall be provided an

opportunity to object to the tentative proposed

decision prior to its presentation to the Board of

Supervisors.

- F. After consideration of any objections, or if no objections are submitted, a record of the hearing, the proposed decision and any other recommendation of the Contractor Hearing Board shall be presented to the Board of Supervisors. The Board of Supervisors shall have the right at its sole discretion to modify, deny, or adopt the proposed decision and recommendation of the Hearing Board.
- G. If a Contractor has been debarred for a period longer than five (5) years, that Contractor may, after the debarment has been in effect for at least five (5) years, submit a written request for review of the debarment determination to reduce the period of debarment or terminate the debarment. County may, in its discretion, reduce the period of debarment or

terminate the debarment if it finds that Contractor has adequately demonstrated one or more of the following:

(1) elimination of the grounds for which the debarment was imposed; (2) a bona fide change in ownership or management; (3) material evidence discovered after debarment was imposed; or (4) any other reason that is in the best interests of County.

The Contractor Hearing Board will consider a request for review of a debarment determination only where (1) the Contractor has been debarred for a period longer than five (5) years; (2) the debarment has been in effect for at least five (5) years; and (3) the request is in writing, states one or more of the grounds for reduction of the debarment period or termination of the debarment, and includes supporting documentation. Upon receiving an appropriate request, the Contractor Hearing Board will provide notice of the hearing on the request. At the hearing, the Contractor Hearing Board shall conduct a hearing where evidence on the proposed reduction of debarment period or termination of debarment is presented. This hearing shall be conducted and the request for review decided by the Contractor Hearing Board pursuant to the same procedures as for a debarment hearing.

The Contractor Hearing Board's proposed decision shall contain a recommendation on the request to reduce the period of debarment or terminate the debarment. The Contractor Hearing Board shall present its proposed decision and recommendation to the Board of Supervisors. The Board of Supervisors shall have the right to modify, deny, or adopt the proposed decision and recommendation of the Contractor Hearing Board

- I. These terms shall also apply to any subcontractors of County Contractors."
- 7. Paragraph 52, <u>CONTRACTOR'S CHARITABLE ACTIVITIES</u>

 <u>COMPLIANCE</u>, shall be added to the ADDITIONAL PROVISIONS as follows:
 - "52. CONTRACTOR'S CHARITABLE ACTIVITIES COMPLIANCE:

The Supervision of Trustees and Fundraisers for Charitable
Purposes Act regulates entities receiving or raising
charitable contributions. The "Nonprofit Integrity Act of
2004" (SB 1262, Chapter 919) increased Charitable Purposes
Act requirements. By requiring Contractors to complete the
certification in Exhibit C, the County seeks to ensure that
all County contractors which receive or raise charitable
contributions comply with California law in order to protect
the County and its taxpayers. A Contractor which receives
or raises charitable contributions without complying with

its obligations under California law commits a material breach subjecting it to either contract termination or debarment proceedings or both. (County Code Chapter 2.202)"

- 8. Exhibit B and Schedules 2-A and 3-A shall be added to Agreement as attached hereto and incorporated herein by reference. Schedules 2 and 3 shall be replaced by Schedules 2-A and 3-A, respectively.
- 9. Except for the changes set forth hereinabove, Agreement shall not be changed in any respect by this Amendment.

IN WITNESS WHEREOF, the Board of Supervisors of the County of Los Angeles has caused this Amendment to be subscribed by its

/

/

/

/

/

/

/

/

/

/

/

Director of Health Services, and Contractor has caused this

Amendment to be subscribed in its behalf by its duly authorized
officer, the day, month, and year first above written.

| COUNTY OF LOS ANGELES | | | | |
|---|--|--|--|--|
| Ву | | | | |
| Thomas L. Garthwaite, M.D. Director and Chief Medical Officer | | | | |
| THE CHILDREN'S COLLECTIVE, INC. | | | | |
| Contractor | | | | |
| Ву | | | | |
| Signature | | | | |
| | | | | |
| Print Name | | | | |
| Title | | | | |
| (AFFIX CORPORATE SEAL) | | | | |
| | | | | |
| | | | | |
| | | | | |

APPROVED AS TO FORM
BY THE OFFICE OF THE COUNTY COUNSEL

APPROVED AS TO CONTRACT ADMINISTRATION:

Department of Health Services

By Cara O'Neill, Chief
Contracts and Grants Division

AMDTCC2:iw:skd:10/14/05

| Contract | No. | |
|----------|-----|--|
| | | |

BLACK INFANT HEALTH PROGRAM SERVICES IN LOS ANGELES COUNTY AGREEMENT

AMENDMENT NO. 1

| THIS AMENDMENT is made an | nd entered into this day |
|--------------------------------|---|
| of, 200 | 5, |
| by and between | COUNTY OF LOS ANGELES (hereafter "County"), |
| and | |
| | • |
| | |
| WHEREAS, reference is made | de to that certain document entitled |
| "BLACK INFANT HEALTH PROGRAM : | SERVICES IN LOS ANGELES COUNTY |
| AGREEMENT", dated June 15, 20 | 04, and further identified as County |
| Agreement No() | nereafter "Agreement"); and |
| WHEREAS, it is the inten- | t of the parties hereto to amend |
| Agreement, to add Board-manda | ted language and to make other |
| hereafter described changes; a | and |
| WHEREAS, said Agreement p | provides that changes may be made in |
| the form of a written amendmen | nt which is formally approved and |
| executed by the parties. | |

NOW, THEREFORE, the parties hereto agree as follows:

1. This Amendment shall be effective on the date of approval by the Board of Supervisors.

2. Subparagraph A of Agreement Paragraph 4, <u>FUNDING/</u>

<u>SERVICES ADJUSTMENTS AND RE-ALLOCATIONS</u>, shall be revised to read as follows:

"4. FUNDING/SERVICES ADJUSTMENTS AND RE-ALLOCATIONS:

If sufficient monies are available from Α. Federal, State, or County funding sources, and upon Director's or his/her authorized designee(s) specific written approval, County may require additional services and pass on to Contractor an increase to the applicable County maximum obligation as payment for such services, as determined by County. For the purposes of this provision, Director's authorized designee shall be the Chief of Operations, Public Health Programs and Services. If monies are reduced by Federal, State, or County funding sources, County may also decrease the applicable County maximum obligation of each fiscal year as determined by County. funding changes will not be retroactive, but will apply to future services following the provision of written notice from Director to Contractor. If such increase or decrease does not exceed fifteen percent (15%) of the applicable County maximum obligation, Director may approve such funding changes. Director may also approve increases or decreases up to fifty percent

(50%) of the Fiscal Year 2005-06 maximum obligation to accommodate available State funding levels and to reallocate funds among existing BIH contractors as necessary to ensure continuity of services not to exceed available State funding, upon approval by County Counsel and notification to the Board. Director shall provide prior written notice of such funding changes to Contractor and to County's Chief Administrative Officer. If the increase or decrease exceeds fifteen percent (15%) of the applicable County maximum obligation for reasons other than those specified above, approval by County's Board of Supervisors shall be required. Any such change in any County maximum obligation shall be effected by an amendment to this Agreement pursuant to the ALTERATION OF TERMS Paragraph of this Agreement."

3. Paragraph 46, <u>CONTRACTOR RESPONSIBILITY AND DEBARMENT</u>, of the ADDITIONAL PROVISIONS shall be replaced in its entirety as follows:

"46. CONTRACTOR RESPONSIBILITY AND DEBARMENT:

A. A responsible Contractor is a Contractor who has demonstrated the attribute of trustworthiness, as well as quality, fitness, capacity and experience to satisfactorily perform the contract. It is County's

policy to conduct business only with responsible contractors.

- B. Contractor is hereby notified that, in accordance with Chapter 2.202 of the County Code, if County acquires information concerning the performance of Contractor on this or other contracts, which indicates that Contractor is not responsible, County may, in addition to other remedies provided in the contract, debar Contractor from bidding or proposing on, or being awarded, and/or performing work on County contracts for a specified period of time, which generally will not exceed five (5) years or be permanent if warranted by the circumstances, and terminate any or all existing contracts Contractor may have with County.
- C. County may debar Contractor if County's Board of Supervisors finds, in its discretion, that Contractor has done any of the following: (1) violated a term of a contract with County or a nonprofit corporation created by County, (2) committed an act or omission which negatively reflects on Contractor's quality, fitness or capacity to perform a contract with County, any other public entity, or a nonprofit corporation created by County, or engaged in a pattern

or practice which negatively reflects on same, (3) committed an act or offense which indicates a lack of business integrity or business honesty, or (4) made or submitted a false claim against County or any other public entity.

- D. If there is evidence that Contractor may be subject to debarment, the Department will notify Contractor in writing of the evidence which is the basis for the proposed debarment and will advise Contractor of the scheduled date for a debarment hearing before the Contractor Hearing Board.
- E. The Contractor Hearing Board will conduct a hearing where evidence on the proposed debarment is presented. Contractor and/or Contractor's representative shall be given an opportunity to submit evidence at that hearing. After the hearing, the Contractor Hearing Board shall prepare a tentative proposed decision, which shall contain a recommendation regarding whether contractor should be debarred, and if so, the appropriate length of time of the debarment. Contractor and the Department shall be provided an opportunity to object to the tentative proposed decision prior to its presentation to the Board of Supervisors.

- F. After consideration of any objections, or if no objections are submitted, a record of the hearing, the proposed decision and any other recommendation of the Contractor Hearing Board shall be presented to the Board of Supervisors. The Board of Supervisors shall have the right at its sole discretion to modify, deny, or adopt the proposed decision and recommendation of the Hearing Board.
- G. If a Contractor has been debarred for a period longer than five (5) years, that Contractor may, after the debarment has been in effect for at least five (5) years, submit a written request for review of the debarment determination to reduce the period of debarment or terminate the debarment. County may, in its discretion, reduce the period of debarment or terminate the debarment if it finds that Contractor has adequately demonstrated one or more of the following:

 (1) elimination of the grounds for which the debarment was imposed; (2) a bona fide change in ownership or management; (3) material evidence discovered after debarment was imposed; or (4) any other reason that is in the best interests of County.
- H. The Contractor Hearing Board will consider a request for review of a debarment determination only

where (1) the Contractor has been debarred for a period longer than five (5) years; (2) the debarment has been in effect for at least five (5) years; and (3) the request is in writing, states one or more of the grounds for reduction of the debarment period or termination of the debarment, and includes supporting documentation. Upon receiving an appropriate request, the Contractor Hearing Board will provide notice of the hearing on the request. At the hearing, the Contractor Hearing Board shall conduct a hearing where evidence on the proposed reduction of debarment period or termination of debarment is presented. This hearing shall be conducted and the request for review decided by the Contractor Hearing Board pursuant to the same procedures as for a debarment hearing.

The Contractor Hearing Board's proposed decision shall contain a recommendation on the request to reduce the period of debarment or terminate the debarment.

The Contractor Hearing Board shall present its proposed decision and recommendation to the Board of Supervisors. The Board of Supervisors shall have the right to modify, deny, or adopt the proposed decision and recommendation of the Contractor Hearing Board

I. These terms shall also apply to any

subcontractors of County Contractors."

- 4. Paragraph 52, CONTRACTOR'S CHARITABLE ACTIVITIES COMPLIANCE, shall be added to the ADDITIONAL PROVISIONS as follows:
 - CONTRACTOR'S CHARITABLE ACTIVITIES COMPLIANCE: "52. The Supervision of Trustees and Fundraisers for Charitable Purposes Act regulates entities receiving or raising charitable contributions. The "Nonprofit Integrity Act of 2004" (SB 1262, Chapter 919) increased Charitable Purposes Act requirements. By requiring Contractors to complete the certification in Exhibit C, the County seeks to ensure that all County contractors which receive or raise charitable contributions comply with California law in order to protect the County and its taxpayers. A Contractor which receives or raises charitable contributions without complying with its obligations under California law commits a material breach subjecting it to either contract termination or debarment proceedings or both. (County Code Chapter 2.202)"
- Except for the changes set forth hereinabove, Agreement shall not be changed in any respect by this Amendment.

IN WITNESS WHEREOF, the Board of Supervisors of the County of Los Angeles has caused this Amendment to be subscribed by its

Director of Health Services, and Contractor has caused this

Amendment to be subscribed in its behalf by its duly authorized
officer, the day, month, and year first above written.

| COUNT | Y OF LOS ANGELES | | |
|-------|---|--|--|
| Ву | | | |
| - | Thomas L. Garthwaite, M.D. Director and Chief Medical Officer | | |
| | Contractor | | |
| Ву | | | |
| | Signature | | |
| | Print Name | | |
| Title | | | |
| | (AFFIX CORPORATE SEAL) | | |

APPROVED AS TO FORM
BY THE OFFICE OF THE COUNTY COUNSEL

APPROVED AS TO CONTRACT ADMINISTRATION:

Department of Health Services

Cara O'Neill, Chief
Contracts and Grants Division

AMDTCC2:iw:skd:10/14/05